arXiv:math/0410487v3 [math.DG] 18 Mar 2006

QUANTUM D-MODULES AND EQUIVARIANT FLOER THEORY FOR FREE LOOP SPACES

HIROSHI IRITANI

ABSTRACT. The objective of this paper is to clarify the relationships between the quantum D-module and equivariant Floer theory. Equivariant Floer theory was introduced by Givental in his paper "Homological Geometry". He conjectured that the quantum D-module of a symplectic manifold is isomorphic to the equivariant Floer cohomology for the universal cover of the free loop space. First, motivated by the work of Guest, we formulate the notion of "abstract quantum D-module" which generalizes the D-module defined by the small quantum cohomology algebra. Second, we define the equivariant Floer cohomology of toric complete intersections rigorously as a D-module, using Givental's model. This is shown to satisfy the axioms of abstract quantum D-module. By Givental's mirror theorem [Giv3], it follows that equivariant Floer cohomology defined here is isomorphic to the quantum cohomology D-module.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000. Primary 53D45; Secondary 14N35, 53D40.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is known that the quantum cohomology ring is isomorphic to Floer cohomology with the 'pair of pants' product [PSS, RT]. Givental proposed the equivariant version of this isomorphism, and conjectured that the quantum D-module is isomorphic to S^1-equivariant Floer cohomology [Giv1]. The quantum D-module is a quantum cohomology ring endowed with a D-module structure. On the other hand, the S^1-equivariant Floer theory is the semi-infinite cohomology theory of the universal cover $\widetilde{LM}$ of free loop spaces, where S^1 acts on $\widetilde{LM}$ by rotating loops. It (conjecturally) has a D-module structure. If M is simply-connected, any 2-dimensional cohomology class on M can be lifted to an equivariant class on $\widetilde{LM}$. Equivariant Floer cohomology is considered to become a D-module by multiplication of 2-dimensional equivariant classes and pull-back by covering transformations. In the paper "Homological Geometry", Givental constructed an explicit model for $\widetilde{L\mathbb{P}_n}$, and by a formal computation, obtained a generating function of the quantum D-module, which is called the "J-function". Equivariant Floer theory is, however, not defined rigorously, therefore his method has not been justified yet. Nevertheless, his formal computation of the J-function gives the correct answer. This was proved by another method using the localization over the moduli space of stable maps by Givental [Giv2, Giv3] and also by Lian, Liu and Yau [LLY1, LLY2, LLY3].

Our motivation is to justify Givental's method in the original form. For that purpose, we must first define equivariant Floer theory rigorously. In this paper, we construct it for complete intersections in toric manifolds explicitly by using Givental's model L for $\widetilde{LM}$. Floer cohomology is constructed as the inductive limit of cohomology algebras. This definition makes the meaning of the word "semi-infinite dimension" clear. For example, each element in Floer cohomology can be expressed as a differential form of infinite degree. For non-equivariant Floer cohomology, the same construction was done by Cohen-Jones-Segal [CJS] in the case of $\mathbb{P}^n$. The present paper generalizes their construction to intersections in toric varieties. Equivariant Floer cohomology also gives a mathematical model for the genus 0 part of Witten's gauged linear sigma models [Wit].

We show that our equivariant Floer cohomology has the structure of an *abstract* quantum D-module. An abstract quantum D-module is, roughly, a sheaf over the infinitesimal neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^r$ endowed with a flat connection with a parameter $\hbar$. The original quantum D-module is a trivial sheaf over $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$ with fiber $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ endowed with a dual Givental connection. We choose a partial compactification $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}^*) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ by adding a "large radius" limit point $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$. The main difference from the original one is that we do not a priori fix a frame of the sheaf and coordinates $q = (q^1, \dots, q^r)$ of the base space $\mathbb{C}^r$. In spite of the indeterminacy, we show that these choices are canonically determined by the condition that the connection matrices represented in the frame are $\hbar$-independent. Original quantum D-modules have $\hbar$-independent connection matrices, therefore, from the beginning, they are endowed with canonical frames and coordinates. In particular, it turns out that the abstract D-module structure determines a quantum deformation of the cup product. Guest proved the existence of a canonical frame in the neighborhood of a point $q \neq 0$ in [Gue3] using the Birkhoff factorization of a loop group. We prove the existence and uniqueness of the canonical frame in the neighborhood of $q = 0$. The idea to transform a system of differential equations to a "normal" form goes back to Birkhoff. In singularity theory, the Birkhoff factorization appears in connection with the construction of primitive forms [Sai]. In the context of mirror symmetry, it appears in the work of Barannikov [Bar] and Coates and Givental [CG]. The present paper makes the role of the Birkhoff factorization explicit.

Finally, we show that our equivariant Floer cohomology is isomorphic to the quantum D-module for nef toric complete intersections using Givental's mirror theorem in [Giv3, LLY3]. This follows from the coincidence of the two J-functions, one from equivariant Floer cohomology and the other from quantum cohomology. We will treat the case where the first Chern class is not nef in [Iri2].

Strictly speaking, we regard a toric complete intersection as a superspace $(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{V})$ — a pair consisting of a toric manifold X_Σ and a sum $\mathcal{V}$ of nef line bundles. We will introduce the notion of quantum products and quantum D-module for superspaces in Section 2. It takes into consideration only quantum multiplications of classes from the ambient toric manifolds. Therefore, the quantum D-module under consideration is for the superspace $(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{V})$ rather than for the complete intersection itself.

Another important point in this paper is a pairing between equivariant Floer homology and cohomology. It is defined as the infinite dimensional Poincaré pairing between semi-infinite cycle and cocycles. Moreover, this geometrical pairing coincides with the pairing defined for abstract quantum D-modules.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we explain quantum cohomology and D-modules for convex superspaces. In Section 3, we formulate the abstract quantum D-module. We prove the existence and uniqueness of the canonical frame. In Section 4, we construct the equivariant Floer cohomology for toric complete intersections. We prove that the equivariant Floer cohomology is isomorphic to the quantum D-module for nef toric complete intersections. In Section 5, we illustrate the general theory by examples using the Hirzeburch surface.

We remark that in this paper, we only deal with the small quantum cohomology, not the large one. We also restrict our attention to manifolds whose cohomology rings are generated by two dimensional classes. A generalization to the large quantum cohomology will also be treated in [Iri2].

Acknowledgments Thanks are due to Professor Hiraku Nakajima, my advisor, for many helpful comments and discussions and also to Professor Toshiaki Maeno, Professor Kenji Fukaya for valuable discussions on Homological Geometry. The author expresses gratitude to Naohiro Sakamoto. The idea of taking the inductive limit was suggested by him. The author is also grateful to Professor Kyoji Saito and Atsushi Takahashi for their helpful comments. The author expresses deep gratitude to Professor Martin Guest for reading the manuscript very carefully and finding many English mistakes and for valuable comments. This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows and Scientific Research (15-5482).

2. QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY AND D-MODULES

In this section we introduce the notion of quantum D-module for convex supermanifold. Here, we mean by the word supermanifold a pair $(M, \mathcal{V})$ of a manifold M and a vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ on it, following Givental's terminology in [Giv3]. The fundamental class of the supermanifold is by definition the cap product of the fundamental class of M with the Euler class $Euler(\mathcal{V})$ of $\mathcal{V}$.

Let M be a smooth projective manifold whose total cohomology ring is generated by two dimensional cohomology classes and $\mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over M. We assume that $\mathcal{V}$ is convex, i.e. for any rational curve C and holomorphic map $f\colon C \to M$, we have $H^1(C, f^*(\mathcal{V})) = 0$. The first Chern class of the supermanifold is defined by $c_1(M/\mathcal{V}) := c_1(TM) - c_1(\mathcal{V})$. Later, we assume that $c_1(M/\mathcal{V})$ is a nef class, but this assumption is unnecessary in this section. Let $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^s$ be a basis of total cohomology ring $H^*(M, \mathbb{C})$, and $\{T^j\}_{j=0}^s$ be its dual basis with respect to the Poincaré pairing i.e. $\int_M T_i \cup T^j = \delta_i^j$. We assume that $T_0 = 1$ and T_s is the Poincaré dual of a point.

Let $\Lambda \subset H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{free}}$ be the semigroup generated by classes of effective curves, where $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{free}}$ means $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ modulo its torsion. For the superspace $(M, \mathcal{V})$, we have a quantum product structure on the module $H^*(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\![\Lambda]\!]$, which was first introduced in [Giv2] and explicitly written down in [Pan]. Let $\overline{M}_{0,n}(M, d)$ be the moduli space of genus zero, degree d stable maps to M with n marked points. We have a forgetful map $\pi_i\colon \overline{M}_{0,n+1}(M, d) \to \overline{M}_{0,n}(M, d)$ which forgets the i-th marked point and an evaluation map $e_i\colon \overline{M}_{0,n+1}(M, d) \to M$ which evaluates at the i-th marked point. We define an orbibundle $\mathcal{V}_d$ over the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(M, d)$ as

$$\mathcal{V}_d := \pi_{n+1*} e_{n+1}^*(\mathcal{V}).$$

The fiber of $\mathcal{V}_d$ at the stable map $f\colon C \to M$ is isomorphic to $H^0(C, f^*(\mathcal{V}))$. Therefore by the convexity of $\mathcal{V}$, the rank of $\mathcal{V}_d$ is equal to $\langle c_1(\mathcal{V}), d\rangle + 1$. Define a subbundle $\mathcal{V}'_{d,i}$ by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}'_{d,i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_d \longrightarrow e_i^*(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the last map is an evaluation map of sections in $H^0(C, f^*(\mathcal{V}))$ at the i-th marked point. We introduce a fiber-wise S^1 action on the bundles $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{V}_d$ and $\mathcal{V}'_{d,i}$ i.e. S^1 acts on each fiber by scalar multiplication and trivially on the base. Let λ be a generator of the equivariant cohomology of a point with respect to this S^1 action. Define the small quantum product $*$ by the formula

$$T_i * T_j = \sum_{\substack{d \in \Lambda \\ k=0,\dots,s}} q^d T^k \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,3}(M,d)]^{\text{virt}}} e_1^*(T_i) \cup e_2^*(T_j) \cup e_3^*(T_k) \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,3}), \tag{1}$$

where q^d denotes an element in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$. This product structure $*$ is extended linearly over $\mathbb{C}[\lambda][\![\Lambda]\!]$ and defines a commutative, associative algebra structure on the module $H^*(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda][\![\Lambda]\!]$. We call this algebra the **(equivariant) small quantum cohomology** of the superspace $(M, \mathcal{V})$ and denote it by $QH^*_{S^1}(M/\mathcal{V})$. Similarly we can define its non-equivariant counterpart $QH^*(M/\mathcal{V})$. The product $*$ is a q-deformation of the usual cup product.

If we assign degree $2\langle c_1(M/\mathcal{V}), d\rangle$ to an element q^d in $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$, then $QH^*_{S^1}(M/\mathcal{V})$ and $QH^*(M/\mathcal{V})$ become graded rings. Define a Poincaré pairing for the superspace by

$$\langle T_i, T_j\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} = \int_M T_i \cup T_j \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}).$$

Then we have the following Frobenius property.

$$\langle T_i, T_j * T_k\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} = \langle T_i * T_j, T_k\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} \quad \text{for all } i, j, k. \tag{2}$$

When we have $\mathcal{V} = 0$, the above definition of quantum cohomology of $(M, \mathcal{V})$ coincides with that of M itself. The quantum product $*$ of superspaces is less familiar than that of projective manifolds. In fact, it is closely related to the quantum cohomology of the zero-locus N of a transverse section of $\mathcal{V}$. Let $i\colon N \to M$ be the inclusion. By [Pan, Proposition 4], we have a ring homomorphism

$$i^*\colon QH^*(M/\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow QH^*(N),$$

provided that the tangent bundle TM is convex and $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(N, \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore we can say that quantum cohomology for superspaces detects quantum products of classes in N which come from the ambient space M.

For convenience, we choose coordinates of $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ and modify the above definition of small quantum cohomology slightly. The set of nef classes in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{free}}$ forms a semigroup and its convex hull in $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ forms a cone σ. The interior of the cone σ is non-empty because it contains a class represented by the Kähler form. We choose nef classes $p_1, \dots, p_r$ in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{free}}$ which form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{free}}$. When $c_1(M/\mathcal{V})$ is nef, we choose $p_1, \dots, p_r$ so that $c_1(M/\mathcal{V})$ is contained in the cone generated by $p_1, \dots, p_r$. Let $q^1, \dots, q^r$ in $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{free}}$ be a dual basis of $p_1, \dots, p_r$ satisfying $\langle p_a, q^b\rangle = \delta_a^b$. For an element $d \in \Lambda$, $q^d \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ is identified with the element

$$(q^1)^{\langle p_1, d\rangle}(q^2)^{\langle p_2, d\rangle} \dots (q^r)^{\langle p_r, d\rangle} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}[q^1, \dots, q^r].$$

Therefore, we can embed $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ into the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[q^1, \dots, q^r]$. Note that $\langle p_a, d\rangle$ is non-negative because p_a is nef. Through the above inclusion, the degree of q^a is identified with $2\langle c_1(M), q^a\rangle$. *Note that* $\deg q^a$ *is non-negative if* $c_1(M/\mathcal{V})$ *is nef.* In general, the degree of q^a can be negative. We frequently regard q^a as a complex coordinate of $H^2(M, \mathbb{C}^*)$ as follows.

$$q^a\colon H^2(M, \mathbb{C}^*) = H^2(M, \mathbb{C}/2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}) \ni \alpha \longmapsto \exp(\langle \alpha, q^a\rangle) \in \mathbb{C}$$

After choosing a set of coordinates $q^1, \dots, q^r$, we replace $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ with $\mathbb{C}[q^1, \dots, q^r]$, and set $QH^*_{S^1}(M/\mathcal{V}) := H^*(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda][\![q^1, \dots, q^r]\!]$, where $\mathbb{C}[\lambda][\![q^1, \dots, q^r]\!]$ denotes the ring of formal power series in $q^1, \dots, q^r$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$. For simplicity, we denote it by $\mathbb{C}[\lambda][\![q]\!]$. The product $*$ is defined by the same formula (1).

Next we define the quantum D-module of the superspace $(M, \mathcal{V})$. The **dual Givental connection** is a connection defined on the trivial bundle $H^*(M, \mathbb{C}) \times H^2(M, \mathbb{C}^*) \to H^2(M, \mathbb{C}^*)$ by

$$\nabla^\hbar := \hbar d + \sum_{a=1}^r (p_a *) \frac{dq^a}{q^a},$$

where $\hbar$ is a degree two parameter. Here, we follow the convention that the Givental connection means $-\nabla^{-\hbar}$ and the 'dual' Givental connection means $\nabla^\hbar$ [CK, p.311,p.321]. This is a formal connection. Algebraically, it defines a map

$$\nabla^\hbar\colon H^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \lambda][\![q]\!] \longrightarrow \sum_a H^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \lambda][\![q]\!] \frac{dq^a}{q^a}.$$

Put $\nabla^{\hbar}_a := \nabla^{\hbar}_{q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}} = \hbar q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} + p_a *$. The connection $\nabla^{\hbar}$ is flat. This fact may be verified from the existence of a fundamental solution matrix L which satisfies $\nabla^{\hbar}L = 0$. A fundamental solution L is given in [Pan, Equation (25)] explicitly as follows.

$$L(T_i) := e^{-p\log q/\hbar}T_i - \sum_{\substack{d\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}\\ k=0,\ldots,s}} q^d T^k \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}(M,d)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} \frac{e_1^*(e^{-p\log q/\hbar}T_i)}{\hbar+\psi_1} e_2^*(T_k) Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,2}), \tag{3}$$

where ψ_1 denotes the first Chern class of the first cotangent line bundle on $\overline{M}_{0,2}(M,d)$ and $p\log q := \sum_{a=1}^{r} p_a \log q^a$. The expression $(\hbar+\psi_1)^{-1}$ in the integrand may be written more explicitly as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hbar^{-j-1}(-\psi_1)^j.$$

The sign of $\hbar$ in (3) is opposite to that in [Pan, Equation (25)] because we use the dual Givental connection instead of the Givental connection. Let D denote a Heisenberg algebra

$$D := \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q^1,\ldots,q^r]\!][\boldsymbol{p}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{p}_r] \qquad \deg q^i = 2\langle c_1(M/\mathcal{V}), q^i\rangle,\ \deg \boldsymbol{p}_i = \deg\hbar = 2,$$

whose generators satisfy the following commutation relations.

$$[\boldsymbol{p}_a, q^b] = \hbar\delta_a^b q^b,\ [\boldsymbol{p}_a,\boldsymbol{p}_b] = [q^a,q^b] = 0,$$
$$[\boldsymbol{p}_a, f(q^1,\ldots,q^r)] = \hbar\boldsymbol{q}^a\frac{\partial}{\partial\boldsymbol{q}^a} f(q^1,\ldots,q^r) \text{ for } f\in\mathbb{C}[\![q^1\ldots,q^r]\!].$$

We define an action of D on the module $E_{S^1} := H^*(M,\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\lambda][\![q]\!]$ by

$$q^a \mapsto \text{multiplication by } q^a, \qquad \boldsymbol{p}_a \mapsto \nabla^{\hbar}_a.$$

We call this D-module E_{S^1} the **(equivariant) small quantum D-module** arising from the quantum cohomology $QH^*_{S^1}(M/\mathcal{V})$. Similarly, we can define its non-equivariant counterpart $E = H^*(M)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q]\!]$.

Next we introduce the J-function of the quantum D-module. The fundamental solution matrix L can be decomposed as $L = S\circ e^{-p\log q/\hbar}$, where

$$S(T_i) := T_i - \sum_{\substack{d\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}\\ k=0,\ldots,s}} q^d T^k \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}(M,d)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} \frac{e_1^*(T_i)}{\hbar+\psi_1} e_2^*(T_k) Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,2}). \tag{4}$$

Note that S is an element of $\mathrm{End}(H^*(M))\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar^{-1},\lambda][\![q]\!]$. Define the J-function by

$$J(q,\hbar) := L^{-1}(1) = e^{p\log q/\hbar}S^{-1}(1).$$

The function $J(q,\hbar)$ is a cohomology valued formal function. Next, we show that this J-function coincides with that given in [Giv2, Giv3, CK].

Lemma 2.1. *Let μ be a constant matrix defined by $\mu(T_i) = (\deg T_i)T_i$. The matrix function S satisfies the following differential equations.*

$$\hbar q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}S + (p_a *)\circ S - S\circ(p_a\cup) = 0.$$

$$(2\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial\hbar} + \sum_{a=1}^{r}(\deg q^a)q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a})S + [\mu, S] = 0.$$

The first equation follows from $\nabla^{\hbar} L = 0$. The second one follows from the fact that the matrix S preserves the degree. Using the above equations, we can establish the unitarity of S which is stated in [Giv4, p.117].

Lemma 2.2. *For $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(M)$, we have*

$$\langle S(\alpha)(q, -\hbar), S(\beta)(q, \hbar)\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} = \langle \alpha, \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof. Set the left hand side equal to $F(\alpha, \beta)$. First, $F(\alpha,\beta)|_{q=0} = \langle \alpha, \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}}$ is clear. By using the differential equation satisfied by S and the Frobenius property, we have

$$\hbar q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} F(\alpha, \beta) = -F(p_a \cup \alpha, \beta) + F(\alpha, p_a \cup \beta).$$

Because the matrix $(p_a \cup)$ is nilpotent, there exists some n such that $(\hbar q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a})^n F(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ holds. Therefore the lemma holds. □

The J-function can be explicitly calculated as follows.

Proposition 2.3. *The inverse matrix S^{-1} has the following explicit formula.*

$$S^{-1}(T_i) = T_i + \sum_{\substack{d \in \Lambda\setminus\{0\} \\ j=0,\dots,s}} q^d T^j \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}(M,d)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} \frac{e_1^*(T_j)}{\hbar - \psi_1} e_2^*(T_i) Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,1}).$$

In particular, we have

$$J(q,\hbar) = e^{p \log q/\hbar}\Big(1 + \sum_{\substack{d \in \Lambda\setminus\{0\} \\ j=0,\dots,s}} q^d T^j \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}(M,d)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} \frac{e_1^*(T_j)}{\hbar - \psi_1} Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,1})\Big).$$

Proof. By the unitarity of S and the non-degeneracy of the equivariant pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\mathcal{V}}$, it suffices to calculate $\langle S(\alpha)(q, -\hbar), \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}}$. Using the equalities $\beta \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} \langle T^j, \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} T_j$ and $e_i^*(Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V})) \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,i}) = Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}_d)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle S(\alpha)(q,-\hbar), \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} &= \langle \alpha, \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} + \sum_{\substack{d \in \Lambda\setminus\{0\} \\ j=0,\dots,s}} q^d \langle T^j, \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}(M,d)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} \frac{e_1^*(\alpha)}{\hbar - \psi_1} e_2^*(T_j) Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,2}) \\
&= \langle \alpha, \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} + \sum_{d \in \Lambda\setminus\{0\}} q^d \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}(M,d)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} \frac{e_1^*(\alpha)}{\hbar - \psi_1} e_2^*(\beta \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V})) Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,2}) \\
&= \langle \alpha, \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} + \sum_{d \in \Lambda\setminus\{0\}} q^d \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}(M,d)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} \frac{e_1^*(\alpha \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}))}{\hbar - \psi_1} e_2^*(\beta) Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,1}) \\
&= \langle \alpha, \beta\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} + \sum_{\substack{d \in \Lambda\setminus\{0\} \\ j=0,\dots,s}} q^d \langle \alpha, T^j\rangle^{\mathcal{V}} \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}(M,d)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} \frac{e_1^*(T_j)}{\hbar - \psi_1} e_2^*(\beta) Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}'_{d,1}).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives the formula for S^{-1}. □

The formula for the J-function coincides with [CK, p.381] up to multiplication by the Euler class. Note that functions S, L and J can be defined also in the non-equivariant theory. The reason for introducing the S^1-equivariance is to make the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\mathcal{V}}$ non-degenerate.

The J-function plays an important role in Givental's theory. We shall show next that the J-function is a generator of the quantum D-module. Note that the following theorem holds true without the nef assumption for $c_1(M/\mathcal{V})$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let M be a smooth projective manifold and $\mathcal{V}$ be a convex vector bundle on M. Assume that the total cohomology ring of M is generated by the second cohomology group. Then the J-function of the supermanifold $(M, \mathcal{V})$ is a generator of its small quantum D-module $E = H^*(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q]\!]$. More precisely, we have a D-module isomorphism $D/I \cong E$, where I is the left ideal consisting of elements $f(q, \boldsymbol{p}, \hbar)$ in D satisfying*

$$f(q, \hbar q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \hbar) \cdot J = 0. \tag{5}$$

Corollary 2.5. *Let $(M, \mathcal{V})$ be a supermanifold which satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 2.4. The isomorphism type of the quantum cohomology ring of the superspace $(M, \mathcal{V})$ is completely determined by its J-function. More precisely, we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{C}[\![q^1, \dots, q^r]\!][p_1, \dots, p_r]/\lim_{\hbar\to 0} I \cong QH^*(M/\mathcal{V}),$$

where $\lim_{\hbar\to 0} I := \{f(q, p, 0) \mid f(q, \boldsymbol{p}, \hbar) \in I\}$ and q^a and p_a are commuting variables corresponding to q^a and $\boldsymbol{p}_a$. (Note that the non-commutativity vanishes when $\hbar \to 0$.)

Later, we will see that the J-function also determines small quantum products, i.e. a matrix representation of the quantum product $p_a *$. Moreover, by the reconstruction theorem of Kontsevich and Manin, we see that the J-function determines all genus 0 n-points Gromov-Witten invariants when $\mathcal{V} = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. First note that L is an intertwining operator of two D-module structures, i.e. $\nabla^\hbar \circ L = L \circ \hbar d$. From the definition $J = L^{-1}(1)$, we have a D-module injective homomorphism $D/I \to E$ which sends $f(q, \boldsymbol{p}, \hbar)$ in D to $f(q, \nabla^\hbar, \hbar) \cdot 1$ in E. It suffices to show that it is surjective. We show that for $\alpha \in H^*(M, \mathbb{C})$, there exists $f(q, \boldsymbol{p}, \hbar) \in D$ such that $\alpha = f(q, \nabla^\hbar, \hbar) \cdot 1$. Take a polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ such that $\alpha = P(p_1, \dots, p_r)$ holds in the cohomology ring. Noting the relation $\nabla^\hbar_a(g(q)\beta) \equiv g(0)p_a \cup \beta \mod \langle q^1, \dots, q^r\rangle$ for $\beta \in H^*(M)$ and $g(q) \in \mathbb{C}[\![q]\!]$, we can write

$$P(\nabla^\hbar_1, \dots, \nabla^\hbar_r) \cdot 1 = \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^r q^i \beta_i(\hbar) + \text{higher order terms in } q,$$

for some $\beta_i(\hbar) \in H^*(M)[\hbar]$. Next we take a polynomial P_i such that $P_i(p_1, \dots, p_r, \hbar) = \beta_i(\hbar)$ holds. We have

$$\{P(\nabla^\hbar) + \sum_{i=1}^r q^i P_i(\nabla^\hbar, \hbar)\} \cdot 1 = \alpha - \sum_{i,j=1}^r q^i q^j \beta_{ij}(\hbar) + \text{higher order terms in } q.$$

The degree of each polynomial $P(p)$, $P_i(p, \hbar)$ with respect to $p_1, \dots, p_r$ is bounded from above by $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$. Therefore, repeating this process, we obtain $f(q, \boldsymbol{p}, \hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q]\!][\boldsymbol{p}]$ such that $f(q, \nabla^\hbar, \hbar) \cdot 1 = \alpha$ holds. □

3. Abstract Quantum D-modules

In this section, we formulate the abstract quantum D-module over the base space $B := \mathbb{C}^r$.

3.1. Definitions and Notation.

Let $\{q^1, \dots, q^r, \hbar\}$ be a coordinate system of $B \times \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}$ centered at 0. Let $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}^\hbar$ denote the rings of germs of regular functions at 0 on B and $B \times \mathbb{C}$ respectively. We can consider the abstract quantum D-module both in the formal and convergent categories. In the formal category, we take

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[\![q^1, \dots, q^r]\!], \quad \mathcal{O}^\hbar = \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q^1, \dots, q^r]\!],$$

and in the convergent one, we take $\mathcal{O}^\hbar$ to be the ring of convergent power series in $\hbar$ and $q^1, \dots, q^r$. However, for simplicity, we deal only with formal power series and do not discuss their convergence. Most of the results hold also in the convergent category under some additional conditions.

Let D be the Heisenberg algebra of the previous section.

$$D = \mathcal{O}^\hbar[\boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_r] = \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q^1, \dots, q^r]\!][\boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_r].$$

We begin with the definition of generalized coordinates of D. $\mathcal{O}$ can be considered as a subring of D. Define two left $\mathcal{O}$-submodules $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{m}'$ of D as $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{a=1}^r \mathcal{O}q^a$ and $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m} + \sum_{a=1}^r \mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{p}_a$. Here, $\mathfrak{m}$ is the ideal of 0 in $\mathcal{O}$, and $\mathfrak{m}'$ is a non-commutative analogue of the ideal of 0 (but is not an ideal of D). A set of elements $(\hat{q}^1, \dots, \hat{q}^r, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_1, \dots, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_r)$ in D is called **generalized coordinates** of D if it satisfies the following conditions.

1. $\hat{q}^1, \dots, \hat{q}^r$ generate $\mathfrak{m}$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module and $\hat{q}^1, \dots, \hat{q}^r, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_1, \dots, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_r$ generate $\mathfrak{m}'$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module.
2. $[\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a, \hat{q}^b] = \hbar\delta_a^b\hat{q}^b$, $[\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_b] = 0$.

For example, $(q^1, \dots, q^r, \boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_r)$ is a set of generalized coordinates of D. We can define the notion of generalized coordinates more concretely, as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $(\hat{q}^1, \dots, \hat{q}^r, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_1, \dots, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_r)$ *be a set of generalized coordinates of* D. *Then* $(\hat{q}^1, \dots, \hat{q}^r)$ *gives a local coordinate system of* B *centered at* 0 *and the* log*-Jacobi matrix*

$$\frac{\partial \log \hat{q}^a}{\partial \log q^b} := \frac{q^b}{\hat{q}^a}\frac{\partial \hat{q}^a}{\partial q^b}$$

is non-degenerate and regular in the neighborhood of 0. *Here, the word "regular" means each entry is contained in* $\mathcal{O}$. *Moreover,* $\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a$ *can be written as*

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a = \sum_{b=1}^r \frac{\partial \log q^b}{\partial \log \hat{q}^a}\boldsymbol{p}_b + \hat{q}^a\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}^a}F \tag{6}$$

for some element F *in* $\mathfrak{m}$.

We need the following lemma for the proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Given* $F_1, \dots, F_r \in \mathfrak{m}$, *if we have* $\hat{q}^a\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}^a}F_b = \hat{q}^b\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}^b}F_a$, *there exists an element* F *in* $\mathcal{O}$ *such that* $F_a = \hat{q}^a\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}^a}F$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. It is clear that $\{\hat{q}^1, \dots, \hat{q}^r\}$ forms a set of local coordinates of B centered at 0. $\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a$ can be written in the form,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a = \sum_{b=1}^r G_a^b\boldsymbol{p}_b + F_a,\ (G_a^b) \text{ is an invertible matrix with entries in } \mathcal{O},\ F_a \in \mathfrak{m}$$

By the relations $[\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_b] = 0$, $[\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a, \hat{q}^b] = \hbar\delta_a^b\hat{q}^b$, we have

$$G_a^c q^c\frac{\partial}{\partial q^c}G_b^d = G_b^c q^c\frac{\partial}{\partial q^c}G_a^d, \quad G_a^c q^c\frac{\partial}{\partial q^c}F_b = G_b^c q^c\frac{\partial}{\partial q^c}F_a, \quad G_a^c q^c\frac{\partial}{\partial q^c}\hat{q}^b = \delta_a^b\hat{q}^b, \tag{7}$$

where we sum over the index c. The third equation shows that G_a^b is the inverse matrix of the log-Jacobi matrix. In particular, the log-Jacobi matrix is non-degenerate and regular and

$$G_a^b = \frac{\partial \log q^b}{\partial \log \hat{q}^a}.$$

Substituting the right hand side for G_a^c, G_b^c in the second equations of (7), we obtain

$$\hat{q}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}^a} F_b = \hat{q}^b \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}^b} F_a.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we obtain the proposition. □

Remark 3.3. *Because of the inhomogeneous term $q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} F$ in equation (6), it seems that we cannot regard $\boldsymbol{p}_a$ simply as a differential operator $\hbar q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}$. However, introducing a new variable t^0 formally and considering the following coordinate transformation,*

$$t^0 = \hat{t}^0 + F(\hat{q}), \quad q^a = q^a(\hat{q}),$$

we have the following relations.

$$\left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \log \hat{q}^a}\right) = \sum_{b=1}^r \frac{\partial \log q^b}{\partial \log \hat{q}^a} \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \log q^b}\right) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \log \hat{q}^a} \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t^0}\right).$$

In this case, if we regard $\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t^0}$ as the identity operator, we recover equation (6).

We see that any set of coordinates $(\hat{q}^1, \ldots, \hat{q}^r)$ of B which comes from a set of generalized coordinates $(\hat{q}^1, \ldots, \hat{q}^r, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_1, \ldots, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_r)$ of D has a special relation to the original coordinates $(q^1, \ldots, q^r)$ i.e. the log-Jacobi matrix is non-degenerate and regular. We say that such coordinates of B centered at 0 are **admissible**. From now on, we do not fix a generalized coordinate of D, therefore $(q^1, \ldots, q^r, \boldsymbol{p}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{p}_r)$ denotes an arbitrary set of generalized coordinates of D which does not necessarily coincide with the original one. We give a necessary and sufficient condition that a matrix with entries in $\mathcal{O}$ becomes a log-Jacobi matrix of some coordinate transformation.

Lemma 3.4. *Let (G_b^a) be an invertible $r \times r$ matrix with entries in $\mathcal{O}$. (G_b^a) is a* log-*Jacobi matrix of some coordinate transformation i.e. $G_b^a = \partial \log q^a / \partial \log \hat{q}^b$ if and only if it satisfies*

$$\hat{q}^c \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}^c} G_b^a = \hat{q}^b \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}^b} G_c^a, \qquad G_b^a(\hat{q} = 0) = \delta_b^{\sigma(a)} \tag{8}$$

for a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$. Given admissible coordinates $(\hat{q}^1, \ldots, \hat{q}^r)$ and a log-*Jacobi matrix (G_b^a), the new set of coordinates $(q^1, \ldots, q^r)$ is of the form*

$$q^a = \hat{q}^{\sigma(a)} \exp(\delta^a), \quad \delta^a \in \mathcal{O},$$

and is determined up to constants, $q^a \mapsto c^a q^a$.

Proof. Set $C_b^a = G_b^a(\hat{q} = 0)$. Assume that G_b^a satisfies the first equation of (8). Because $G_b^a - C_b^a \in \mathfrak{m}$, Lemma 3.2 shows that there exist elements $\delta^a \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfying $\hat{q}^b \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}^b} \delta^a = G_b^a - C_b^a$. Thus, the solution for $G_b^a = \partial \log q^a / \partial \log \hat{q}^b$ is given by $q^a = \prod_{b=1}^r (\hat{q}^b)^{C_b^a} \exp(\delta^a)$. In order for $(q^1, \ldots, q^r)$ to be a set of coordinates, (C_b^a) must be an element of $SL(r, \mathbb{Z})$ and $C_b^a \geqq 0$, ${C^{-1}}_b^a \geqq 0$. Therefore, C_b^a must be a permutation matrix and the conclusion follows. □

Definition 3.5 (Abstract quantum D-module)**.** 1. *For a given D-module E, we set*

$$V := E / \left(\sum_{i=1}^r q^i E + \hbar E \right), \quad E_0 := E / \sum_{i=1}^r q^i E.$$

Note that the D-submodule $\sum_{i=1}^r q^i E$ does not depend on a choice of generalized coordinates of D. We call E_0 the **zero fiber** *of E. V is a module over the commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}[p_1, \ldots, p_r]$ and E_0 is a module over $\mathbb{C}[p_1, \ldots, p_r, \hbar]$, where the p_a's are the commuting variables corresponding to the $\boldsymbol{p}_a$'s.*

2. *An* **abstract quantum D-module** *is a D-module E endowed with a base point e_0 in V satisfying the following axioms.*

1. *V is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.*
2. *There exists a splitting $\Phi\colon V\otimes\mathcal{O}^\hbar\to E$ such that Φ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}^\hbar$-modules. We call Φ a* **frame** *of E.*
3. *Passing to the quotient by the submodule generated by the action of q^a's, we have an induced isomorphism $\Phi_0\colon V\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar]\to E_0$ from Φ. Then, Φ_0 is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[p_1,\dots,p_r,\hbar]$-modules. We call Φ_0 a frame of E_0.*
4. *The subset $\{e_0,p_1e_0,\dots,p_re_0\}$ of V is linearly independent. (Note that this property is independent of a choice of generalized coordinates of D.)*

It is easy to see that the quantum D-module arising from quantum cohomology satisfies the above axioms for $e_0=1\in V=H^*(M,\mathbb{C})$ and $\Phi=\mathrm{id}$.

We postulate only the existence of the frame Φ, and do not fix a choice of it. When we have two frames Φ and $\hat\Phi$, they are connected by a **gauge transformation** Q in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}^\hbar}(V\otimes\mathcal{O}^\hbar)$ such that $\hat\Phi=\Phi\circ Q$. The frame of E_0 is not fixed either. The two frames Φ_0, $\hat\Phi_0$ of E_0 induced by Φ, $\hat\Phi$ are connected also by the gauge transformation $Q_0:=Q|_{q=0}$. Q_0 must be an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[\hbar,p_1,\dots,p_r]$-modules. Moreover, $Q_0|_{\hbar=0}$ must be the identity operator of V.

In this paper, we are interested in the case where V is generated by e_0 as a $\mathbb{C}[p_1,\dots,p_r]$-module. In the case of the quantum cohomology of M, this condition means that $H^*(M)$ is generated by $H^2(M)$ as a ring. In this case, a gauge transformation Q_0 of E_0 is determined by the value $Q_0(e_0)=e_0+a_1\hbar+a_2\hbar^2+\cdots$ $(a_i\in V)$ which is a generator of $V\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ as a $\mathbb{C}[\hbar,p_1,\dots,p_r]$-module. Therefore, we have a one-to-one correspondence

$$\text{a frame } \Phi_0 \text{ of } E_0 \Longleftrightarrow \text{a generator of } E_0 \text{ which projects to } e_0\in V.$$

In the case of the original quantum D-modules, there is a natural grading on E_0 such that $\deg\hbar=2$. Therefore, the lift of e_0 to E_0 can be canonically determined by the homogeneity condition $\deg\Phi_0(e_0)=0$.

Take a frame Φ and a set of generalized coordinates $(q^1,\dots,q^r,\boldsymbol{p}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{p}_r)$ of D. We define a flat connection ∇ on the sections of the trivial sheaf $V\otimes\mathcal{O}^\hbar$ by

$$\nabla_a:=\nabla_{q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}}:=\frac{1}{\hbar}\text{ the action of }\boldsymbol{p}_a$$

through the identification $\Phi\colon V\otimes\mathcal{O}^\hbar\cong E$. This is considered to be a connection over the infinitesimal neighborhood of 0 in B. More specifically, define connection matrices Ω_a in $\mathrm{End}(V)\otimes\mathcal{O}^\hbar$ for $a=1,\dots,r$ by

$$\boldsymbol{p}_a\cdot\Phi(v)=\Phi(\Omega_a(v)),\quad v\in V.$$

The flat connection ∇ is defined by

$$\nabla\colon V\otimes\mathcal{O}^\hbar\longrightarrow\frac{1}{\hbar}V\otimes\sum_{a=1}^r\mathcal{O}^\hbar\frac{dq^a}{q^a},\quad \nabla=d+\frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_{a=1}^r\Omega_a\frac{dq^a}{q^a}.$$

In particular, $\nabla_a(v):=\frac{1}{\hbar}\Omega_a(v)$ for $v\in V$. The connection matrix $\Omega_a(q=0,\hbar)$ at the origin is $\hbar$-independent and is equal to the action of p_a on V. This follows from the third axiom. By the relation $[\boldsymbol{p}_a,\boldsymbol{p}_b]=0$, the connection ∇ is flat. It corresponds to the dual Givental connection $\nabla^\hbar$ divided by $\hbar$ in the original quantum D-module case. We describe the transformation law of connection matrices. Let Φ and $\hat\Phi=\Phi\circ Q$ be frames. The corresponding connection matrices Ω_a and $\hat\Omega_a$ are related by

$$\hat\Omega_a=Q^{-1}\Omega_aQ+Q^{-1}\hbar q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}Q. \tag{9}$$

The connection matrices are transformed also under the coordinate transformation (6) as follows:

$$\Omega_{\hat{a}} = \sum_{b=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \log q^b}{\partial \log \hat{q}^a}\Omega_b + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \log \hat{q}^a}. \tag{10}$$

Note that connection matrices are transformed in two different ways.

3.2. **Canonical Frame.** A frame Φ of E is called **canonical** if its connection matrices Ω_a are $\hbar$-independent i.e. $\Omega_a \in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}$. The notion of canonical frame does not depend on a choice of generalized coordinates of D. In this subsection, we show that given a frame Φ_0 of E_0, there exists a unique canonical frame Φ which induces Φ_0.

For the original quantum D-module, the canonical frame is already chosen by the canonical isomorphism $E \cong H^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q]\!]$. Connection matrices are identified with quantum products by two dimensional classes, therefore they do not depend on $\hbar$. The existence and uniqueness of the canonical frame shows that the abstract D-module structure reconstructs the quantum multiplication table. (In this case, the frame of E_0 is determined by homogeneity.)

We introduce flat connections ∇^0 and ∇^1 on the endomorphism bundle $\mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}^\hbar$. Given a frame of E and generalized coordinates of D, they are defined by

$$\nabla^0_a T := q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} T + \frac{1}{\hbar}\Omega_a T, \quad \nabla^1_a T := q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} T + \frac{1}{\hbar}(\Omega_a T - T p_a), \tag{11}$$

for $T \in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}^\hbar$, where p_a is considered to be an element of $\mathrm{End}(V)$. It is easy to check that they are flat. The connection ∇^0 defines a fundamental solution L for ∇ which is an analogue of the L in (3). A parallel section S for the connection ∇^1 is an analogue of the S in (4). In fact, the differential equation in Lemma 2.1 is identical with that for ∇^1. First we construct a solution S for ∇^1, and next we define a fundamental solution for ∇ by $L := S \circ e^{-p \log q/\hbar}$, where $p \log q = \sum_{a=1}^r p_a \log q^a$. Note that any solution $\tilde{L}$ for ∇^0 regular at $q = 0$ satisfies $p_a \tilde{L}(q = 0) = 0$ and hence is not invertible. Therefore, an invertible matrix solution L for ∇^0 cannot be regular at $q = 0$.

Proposition 3.6. *There exists a unique ∇^1-flat section $S(q, \hbar)$ in $\mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}][\![q^1, \ldots, q^r]\!]$ which satisfies the initial condition $S(0, \hbar) = \mathrm{id}$. The solution $S(q, \hbar)$ depends on a choice of a frame of E and generalized coordinates of D.*

Proof. We solve the second equation of (11) with the initial condition $S(0, \hbar) = \mathrm{id}$. Suppose by induction that we have a solution $S(k) := S(q^1, \ldots, q^k, 0, \ldots, 0, \hbar)$ which satisfies the second equation of (11) for $a \leqq k$. We assume that

$$\Omega_a(q^1, \ldots, q^k, 0, \ldots, 0, \hbar) S(k) = S(k) p_a, \quad \forall a > k.$$

These assumptions are satisfied when $k = 0$. We expand S and Ω_{k+1} as follows.

$$S(k+1) = S(k) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(q^1, \ldots, q^k, \hbar)(q^{k+1})^n,$$

$$\Omega_{k+1}(q^1, \ldots, q^{k+1}, 0, \ldots, 0, \hbar) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{k+1,n}(q^1, \ldots, q^k, \hbar)(q^{k+1})^n,$$

where $T_0 = \mathrm{id}$. We substitute $S(k+1)$ for the second equation of (11) in the case $a = k+1$ and expand in q^{k+1}. Extracting the coefficient of $(q^{k+1})^n$, we obtain for $n \geqq 1$,

$$nS(k)T_n + \frac{1}{\hbar}\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Omega_{k+1,n-i} S(k) T_i + \Omega_{k+1,0} S(k) T_n - S(k) T_n p_{k+1} \right\} = 0.$$

By the assumption $\Omega_{k+1,0}S(k) = S(k)p_{k+1}$, we have for $n \geqq 1$,

$$\left(n + \frac{1}{\hbar}\operatorname{ad}(p_{k+1})\right) T_n = -\frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S(k)^{-1}\Omega_{k+1,n-i}S(k)T_i.$$

If $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$ are given, we can solve for T_n in the above equation. Note that T_n is contained in the ring $\operatorname{End}(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}]\llbracket q^1, \dots, q^k \rrbracket$. Thus we obtain $S(k+1)$.

Next, we verify that the second equation of (11) holds for $S = S(k+1)$ and $a = 1, \dots, k$. For $1 \leqq a \leqq k$, we have

$$\nabla^1_{k+1}\nabla^1_a S(k+1) = \nabla^1_a \nabla^1_{k+1} S(k+1) = 0, \quad \nabla^1_a S(k+1)|_{q^{k+1}=0} = 0.$$

Hence $T(q^1, \dots, q^{k+1}, \hbar) := \nabla^1_a S(k+1)$ satisfies the differential equation $\nabla^1_{k+1}T = 0$ and the initial condition $T|_{q^{k+1}=0} = 0$. This equation turns out to have a unique solution and we have $\nabla^1_a S(k+1) = T \equiv 0$.

Finally we check that for $a > k+1$, the relation

$$\Omega_a(q^1, \dots, q^{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0, \hbar)S(k+1) - S(k+1)p_a = 0$$

holds. Because the left hand side is equal to $\nabla^1_a S(k+1)|_{q^{k+2}=\cdots=q^r=0}$, it turns out to be zero by the same argument. This completes the induction step, and we obtain $S(q,\hbar)$. □

Remark 3.7. *In the case where all Ω_a are $\hbar$-independent, the section $S(q,\hbar)$ obtained in the previous proposition is regular at $\hbar = \infty$. In other words, $S(q,\hbar) \in \mathbb{C}\llbracket \hbar^{-1} \rrbracket \llbracket q^1, \dots, q^r \rrbracket$.*

We describe the dependency of the solution $S(q,\hbar)$ in Proposition 3.6 on a frame and generalized coordinates. Denote by $S_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$ the solution corresponding to a frame Φ of E and generalized coordinates $(q, \boldsymbol{p})$ of D.

Lemma 3.8. *Let Φ and $\hat{\Phi}$ be frames of E and $Q(q,\hbar)$ be the gauge transformation such that $\hat{\Phi} = \Phi \circ Q$. Define $Q_0 := Q(0,\hbar)$. Let $(q,\boldsymbol{p})$ and $(\hat{q},\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})$ be two sets of generalized coordinates of D. The two solutions $S_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$ and $S_{\hat{\Phi},(\hat{q},\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})}$ are related by*

$$S_{\hat{\Phi},(\hat{q},\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})} = Q^{-1} S_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})} Q_0 e^{\sum_{a=1}^r p_a(\log \hat{q}^a - \log q^a - c^a)/\hbar - F/\hbar},$$

where F is an element of $\mathfrak{m}$ associated with the coordinate transformation which appeared in the equation (6) and $c^a \in \mathbb{C}$ is chosen so that $\log \hat{q}^a - \log q^a - c^a$ is in $\mathfrak{m}$. (Note that $\hat{q}^a$ is of the form $\hat{q}^a = q^a \exp(\delta^a)$, $\delta^a \in \mathcal{O}$ after renumbering the indices. See Lemma 3.4.)

Proof. The initial condition $S_{\hat{\Phi},(\hat{q},\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})}(\hat{q} = 0, \hbar) = \mathrm{id}$ is easy to check. Using the fact that Q_0 commutes with p_a and the equations (9), (10), we can check that the left hand side satisfies the differential equation. □

We prove the main theorem of this section, the existence and uniqueness of the canonical frame. The theorem is stated as follows.

Theorem 3.9. *For a given frame Φ_0 of E_0, there exists a unique canonical frame $\hat{\Phi}$ of E which induces Φ_0. In other words, for any frame Φ which induces Φ_0, there exists a unique gauge transformation $Q(q,\hbar)$ such that $Q(0,\hbar) = \mathrm{id}$ and $\hat{\Phi} := \Phi \circ Q(q,\hbar)$ is canonical.*

Proof. Fix a set of generalized coordinates $(q,\boldsymbol{p})$ of D and choose a frame Φ of E. We use the flat section $S(q,\hbar)$ of ∇^1 obtained in Proposition 3.6. First we perform Birkhoff factorization for $S(q,\hbar)$. We prove that S can be uniquely factorized as $S = S_+S_-$, where $S_+ \in \operatorname{End}(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar]\llbracket q \rrbracket$

and $S_- \in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\![\hbar^{-1}]\!][\![q]\!]$ and $S_-(\hbar = \infty) = \mathrm{id}$. We expand S, S_+ and S_- in formal power series of q^a as follows.

$$S = \mathrm{id} + \sum_{d \neq 0} S_d(\hbar, \hbar^{-1}) q^d, \quad S_+ = A_0(\hbar) + \sum_{d \neq 0} A_d(\hbar) q^d, \quad S_- = \mathrm{id} + \sum_{d \neq 0} B_d(\hbar^{-1}) \frac{1}{\hbar} q^d,$$

where $d \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r$, $A_d \in \mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ and $B_d \in \mathbb{C}[\![\hbar^{-1}]\!]$. We determine A_d, B_d inductively. It is easy to see that $A_0 = \mathrm{id}$. We define $d_1 \leqq d_2$ for $d_i = (d_{i,1}, \ldots, d_{i,r}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r$ if $d_{1,j} \leqq d_{2,j}$ holds for all j. Assume that we obtain A_d and B_d for all $d < d_0$. Then we have

$$S_{d_0}(\hbar, \hbar^{-1}) = B_{d_0}(\hbar^{-1}) \frac{1}{\hbar} + A_{d_0}(\hbar) + \sum_{\substack{d_1 + d_2 = d_0 \\ d_1 \neq 0, d_2 \neq 0}} A_{d_1}(\hbar) B_{d_2}(\hbar^{-1}) \frac{1}{\hbar}.$$

This determines A_{d_0} and B_{d_0} uniquely. Note that $S_+(0, \hbar) = S_-(0, \hbar) = \mathrm{id}$. By the differential equation $\nabla^1 S(q, \hbar) = 0$, we have

$$S^{-1} q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} S + S^{-1} \frac{\Omega_a}{\hbar} S = \frac{p_a}{\hbar}.$$

Substituting $S_+ S_-$ for S, we obtain

$$S_+^{-1} q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} S_+ + S_+^{-1} \frac{\Omega_a}{\hbar} S_+ = S_- \frac{p_a}{\hbar} S_- - (q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} S_-) S_-^{-1}.$$

The $\hbar$-expansion of the left hand side is $A^{-1} \Omega|_{\hbar=0} A / \hbar + O(1)$ for $A = S_+(\hbar = 0)$ and the right hand side is of order $O(1/\hbar)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$S_+^{-1} q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} S_+ + S_+^{-1} \frac{\Omega_a}{\hbar} S_+ = \frac{\hat{\Omega}_a}{\hbar}, \qquad \text{for some } \hbar\text{-independent matrix } \hat{\Omega}_a.$$

The above equation shows that S_+ gives the desired gauge transformation Q.

Next, we show that the gauge transformation Q is unique. Assume that for another gauge transformation Q' satisfying $Q'(q = 0) = \mathrm{id}$, we have a new frame $\Phi' = \Phi \circ Q'$ such that its connection matrices Ω'_a are $\hbar$-independent. For the frame Φ', take the unique solution $S'(q, \hbar)$ for the following system of differential equations.

$$\nabla_a^{1\prime} S'(q, \hbar) = q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} S'(q, \hbar) + \frac{1}{\hbar} (\Omega'_a S' - S' p_a) = 0, \quad S'(0, \hbar) = \mathrm{id}$$

By Remark 3.7, S' is regular at $\hbar = \infty$. Set $\tilde{S}(q, \hbar) = Q'(q, \hbar) S'(q, \hbar)$. Then, it is easy to show that $\nabla^1 \tilde{S} = 0$ and $\tilde{S}(0, \hbar) = \mathrm{id}$. By the uniqueness of the solution S, we have $S = \tilde{S}$. Also by the uniqueness of Birkhoff factorization, we have $Q = S_+ = Q'$. □

Remark 3.10. *We owe the idea of using the Birkhoff factorization to Martin Guest* [Gue3] *in this D-module context. He used this factorization not for S, but for any fundamental solution matrix for ∇. The Birkhoff factorization also appears in the works of Barannikov* [Bar] *and Coates and Givental* [CG].

Remark 3.11. *The computation of the canonical frame and its connection can be carried out in an algorithmic way. We can compute the coefficient of each q^d step by step, but we cannot obtain a closed formula by this method.*

As an application of Theorem 3.9, we show that the J-function in Proposition 2.3 determines all genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants of M if $H^*(M)$ is generated by $H^2(M)$. By Theorem 2.4, we can reconstruct the quantum D-module from the J-function. In this case, the image of $1 \in D$ in D/I gives the base point $\Phi(e_0)$ of the quantum D-module, therefore, the frame of the zero fiber E_0 is already given. We remarked that the quantum D-module arising from the quantum cohomology has connection matrices independent of $\hbar$. Therefore, taking the canonical frame, we have a table of quantum multiplication by two dimensional classes. By the reconstruction theorem of Kontsevich and Manin, we can determine all genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants [KM].

Corollary 3.12. *Let $(M,\mathcal{V})$ be a supermanifold which satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 2.4. The J-function defined by the small quantum cohomology of $(M,\mathcal{V})$ reconstructs not only the isomorphism type of the quantum cohomology ring but also the quantum multiplication table. Moreover, when $\mathcal{V}=0$, it determines all genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants of M.*

3.3. **The Graded Case.** The original quantum D-module has a natural grading. We introduce the notion of grading for abstract quantum D-modules. In the graded case, the connections ∇^0, ∇^1 can be extended over $B\times\mathbb{C}$, where the coordinate of $\mathbb{C}$ is $\hbar$. Further, we can take coordinates compatible with canonical frame if the grading satisfies the nef condition (Assumption 3.15).

In the graded case, we assume that the variables have the following degrees.

$$\deg \boldsymbol{p}_a = \deg p_a = \deg \hbar = 2, \quad \deg q^a = \deg q^a = \text{ even integer.}$$

We only consider coordinate transformations which preserve the homogeneity of the degree. We define the **Euler vector field** over $B\times\mathbb{C}$ to be

$$\mathcal{E} = 2\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial\hbar} + \sum_{a=1}^{r}(\deg q^a)q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}.$$

We define an operator $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}) : D\to D$ satisfying the Leibniz rule as follows:

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(\boldsymbol{p}_a) = 2\boldsymbol{p}_a, \quad \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(\hbar) = [\mathcal{E},\hbar] = 2\hbar, \quad \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(q^a) = [\mathcal{E},q^a] = (\deg q^a)q^a,$$
$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(x\cdot y) = \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(x)\cdot y + x\cdot\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(y) \quad \text{for } x,\, y\in D.$$

The operator $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$ acts on the abstract quantum D-module E and also satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(x\cdot e) = \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(x)\cdot e + x\cdot\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(e), \tag{12}$$

for $x\in D$ and $e\in E$. The action of $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$ on E induces an action on V. If $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$ is semisimple on V, its eigenvalues are the degrees of the eigenvectors.

We assume in the graded case that there exists a frame Φ of E which commutes with the action of $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$, i.e. $\Phi\circ\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})\circ\Phi$, where the action of $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$ is extended on $V\otimes\mathcal{O}^\hbar$ in such a way that it satisfies equation (12) for $x\in\mathcal{O}^\hbar$ and $e\in V$. We only consider frames commuting with $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$. Therefore, the gauge transformation $Q(q,\hbar)$ and the frame Φ_0 of E_0 also commute with $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$. Let $\mu\in\mathrm{End}(V)$ be the action of $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$ on V and put $\rho := \sum_{a=1}^{r}(\deg q^a)p_a\in\mathrm{End}(V)$. For a given frame Φ and generalized coordinates $(q,\boldsymbol{p})$, we extend the connections ∇^0, ∇^1 over $B\times\mathbb{C}$ by the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^0_{\mathcal{E}}T &:= [\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}),T] + T\frac{\rho}{\hbar} = \mathcal{E}T + [\mu,T] + T\frac{\rho}{\hbar},\\
\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}}T &:= [\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}),T] = \mathcal{E}T + [\mu,T],
\end{aligned}$$

for $T\in\mathrm{End}(V)\otimes\mathcal{O}^\hbar$. The extended connections ∇^0, ∇^1 (which we denote by the same symbols) are also flat. In the case of quantum cohomology, Lemma 2.1 shows that S in equation (4) satisfies $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}}S=0$. If S satisfies $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}}S=0$, $L = S\circ e^{-p\log q/\hbar}$ satisfies $\nabla^0_{\mathcal{E}}L=0$. We show that there exists a unique solution S for the extended connection ∇^1 with the initial condition $S(q=0,\hbar)=\mathrm{id}$. In other words,

Proposition 3.13. *The solution S constructed in Proposition 3.6 also satisfies $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}} S = 0$ in the graded case. Therefore, S automatically preserves the degree. Moreover, S is an element of* $\mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}][\![q^1, \dots, q^r]\!]$.

Proof. Let $S(q, \hbar)$ be the solution in Proposition 3.6. By the flatness of ∇^1, we have

$$\nabla^1_a \nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}} S = \nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla^1_a S = 0.$$

Moreover, $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}} S$ satisfies the initial condition $(\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}} S)(q = 0, \hbar) = 0$. From this it follows that $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}} S = 0$. The last statement follows from $[\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}), S] = 0$, $\deg \hbar = 2$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V < \infty$. □

By this proposition, Theorem 3.9 also holds in the graded case.

Theorem 3.14. *For a given frame Φ_0 of E_0 commuting with $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$, there exists a unique canonical frame $\hat{\Phi}$ which induces Φ_0 and commutes with $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$. In other words, for any frame Φ which induces Φ_0 and commutes with $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$, there exists a unique degree-preserving gauge transformation $Q(q, \hbar)$ such that $Q(0, \hbar) = \mathrm{id}$ and $\hat{\Phi} := \Phi \circ Q(q, \hbar)$ is canonical.*

Proof. Let S be the solution for ∇^1 obtained in the previous proposition. It suffices to check that the positive part S_+ of the Birkhoff factorization $S = S_+ S_-$ preserves the degree. Because $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}} S = [\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}), S] = 0$, we have

$$[\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}), S_-] S_-^{-1} = -S_+^{-1} [\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}), S_+].$$

Because the left hand side is of order $O(1/\hbar)$, both sides are zero. □

In the case of original quantum D-modules, we have the relation $\boldsymbol{p}_a \cdot \Phi(e_0) = \Phi(p_a e_0)$ for $e_0 = 1 \in V = H^*(M, \mathbb{C})$. In the case of an abstract quantum D-module, we call generalized coordinates $(q^1, \dots, q^r, \boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_r)$ **compatible with a frame** Φ if they satisfy the same relation $\boldsymbol{p}_a \cdot \Phi(e_0) = \Phi(p_a e_0)$. In general, we can not expect that compatible generalized coordinates of D exist. However, if they exist, they are uniquely determined up to the ordering and multiplication by constants $q^a \mapsto c^a q^a$. This follows from the coordinate transformation (6), the fact that $\{e_0, p_1 e_0, \dots, p_r e_0\}$ is linearly independent, and Lemma 3.4. Next, we give a sufficient condition for the existence of generalized coordinates compatible with a canonical frame. For that, we assume

Assumption 3.15. 1. $\mu \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ *is semisimple and V decomposes into the direct sum*

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_4 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{2n},$$

where V_{2k} is the eigenspace of μ with eigenvalue $2k$.

2. $V_0 = \mathbb{C} e_0$ *and* $V_2 = \bigoplus_{a=1}^r \mathbb{C}(p_a e_0)$.
3. *The grading satisfies the nef condition:* $\deg q^a \geqq 0$ *for* $a = 1, \dots, r$.

The quantum D-module arising from the quantum cohomology of the superspace $(M, \mathcal{V})$ satisfies this assumption if its first Chern class $c_1(M/\mathcal{V})$ is nef.

Theorem 3.16. *Under Assumption 3.15, there exist generalized coordinates $(q, \boldsymbol{p})$ compatible with a canonical frame Φ of E. The set of compatible generalized coordinates $(q, \boldsymbol{p})$ is unique up to the ordering and multiplication by constants $q^a \mapsto c^a q^a$.*

Proof. Let Ω_a be a connection matrix associated with a canonical frame Φ. By Assumption 3.15 and $\deg \Omega_a = 2$ (i.e. $[\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}), \Omega_a] = 2\Omega_a$), one can write

$$\Omega_a(e_0) = -F_a(q) e_0 + \sum_{b=1}^r G_a^b(q)(p_b e_0), \quad F_a, G_a^b \in \mathcal{O}, \tag{13}$$

where $F_a(q=0)=0$ and $G_a^b(q=0)=\delta_a^b$. By the flatness of $\nabla = d+(1/\hbar)\sum_{a=1}^r \Omega_a dq^a/q^a$, we have

$$q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}\Omega_b - q^b\frac{\partial}{\partial q^b}\Omega_a + \frac{1}{\hbar}[\Omega_a,\Omega_b]=0.$$

Because Ω_a is $\hbar$-independent, we have

$$q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}\Omega_b - q^b\frac{\partial}{\partial q^b}\Omega_a = 0, \quad [\Omega_a,\Omega_b]=0.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, there exists a function $F\in\mathfrak{m}$ and a new set of coordinates $\{\hat{q}^1,\dots,\hat{q}^r\}$ such that

$$F_a(q) = q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}F(q), \quad G_a^b(q) = \frac{\partial \log \hat{q}^b}{\partial \log q^a}. \tag{14}$$

Define new generalized coordinates $\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a$ by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_a = \sum_{b=1}^r \frac{\partial \log q^b}{\partial \log \hat{q}^a}\boldsymbol{p}_b + q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}F(q).$$

Then equation (10) shows that $\Omega_{\hat{a}}(e_0) = p_a e_0$, therefore $(\hat{q},\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})$ are compatible generalized coordinates. □

The coordinate transformation appearing in the above theorem is called a **mirror transformation**. By choosing generalized coordinates compatible with a canonical frame, we can canonically define an affine structure on the base B. (The coordinates $\log q^a$ define a flat structure.) The equivariant Floer cohomology introduced later is not a priori given the 'correct' (affine) coordinates. We can find an affine structure by taking the generalized coordinates compatible with the canonical frame.

The indeterminacy of the constant multiple $q^a\mapsto c^a q^a$ can be normalized by choosing an element v_0 of $T_0B^* := \{\sum_{a=1}^r c^a(\partial/\partial q^a)_0 |\ c^a\neq 0\ (\forall a)\}$. Then we normalize q^a so that the equality $v_0 = (\partial/\partial q^1)_0+\cdots+(\partial/\partial q^r)_0$ holds. We call $v_0\in T_0B^*$ a **normalization vector**. In this sense, the generalized coordinates in the equivariant Floer theory are a priori correctly normalized even though they are not affine.

3.4. *J*-function and Pairing.

In this subsection, we define the J-function and the pairing of the abstract quantum D-module. In what follows, we fix a choice of a frame Φ_0 of the zero fiber E_0 and a normalization vector $v_0\in T_0B^*$. The J function depends on a choice of a frame, but the pairing does not depend on it.

Let Φ be a frame of E and $(q,\boldsymbol{p})$ be a set of generalized coordinates of D. The flat section $L_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$ of ∇^0 can be obtained from the solution $S_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$ in Proposition 3.6 by the formula

$$L_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})} := S_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}\circ e^{-p\log q/\hbar}, \quad p\log q = \sum_{a=1}^r p_a \log q^a.$$

The function $L_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$ is a multi-valued matrix function on B. By Lemma 3.8, we have the following transformation law:

$$L_{\hat{\Phi},(\hat{q},\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})} = e^{-F/\hbar}Q^{-1}L_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}.$$

Note that $Q_0=\mathrm{id}$ and $\log q^a - \log\hat{q}^a\in\mathfrak{m}$ because we fixed a choice of a frame of the zero fiber and a normalization vector.

Next, we define the J-function as follows.

$$J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})} := L^{-1}_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}(e_0) = e^{p\log q/\hbar}S^{-1}_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}(e_0).$$

The following theorem is the analogue of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 3.17. *Assume that V is generated by e_0 as a $\mathbb{C}[p_1, \ldots, p_r]$-module. Then E is generated by a single element $\Phi(e_0)$ as a D-module. Moreover, we have a D-module isomorphism $D/I \cong E$ which sends 1 to $\Phi(e_0)$, where I is the left ideal consisting of elements $f(q, \boldsymbol{p}, \hbar)$ in D satisfying*

$$f(q, \hbar q \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \hbar) \cdot J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})} = 0.$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4.

When we have a canonical frame and compatible generalized coordinates, we can describe the asymptotic behavior of the J-function. By Remark 3.7, the solution $S_{\mathrm{can}} = S_{\Phi_{\mathrm{can}},(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$ can be expanded in $\hbar^{-1}$ and one can write $S_{\mathrm{can}}^{-1} = S_0 + \hbar^{-1} S_1 + \hbar^{-2} S_2 + \cdots$. From $\nabla^1 S_{\mathrm{can}} = 0$, we have

$$q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} S_0 = 0, \qquad q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} S_1 + p_a S_0 - S_0 \Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}} = 0.$$

Together with the initial condition $S_{\mathrm{can}}|_{q=0} = \mathrm{id}$ and the compatibility $\Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}}(e_0) = p_a e_0$, these show that $S_0 = \mathrm{id}$ and $S_1(e_0) = 0$. Thus we obtain the following.

$$J_{\mathrm{can}} = J_{\Phi_{\mathrm{can}}} = e^{p \log q/\hbar}(e_0 + o(\hbar^{-1})). \tag{15}$$

Under Assumption 3.15, a degree-preserving gauge transformation Q satisfies $Q(e_0) = f(q) e_0$ for some degree zero element $f \in \mathcal{O}$. Therefore, the J-function is transformed as

$$J_{\hat{\Phi},(\hat{q},\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})} = f e^{F/\hbar} J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}, \tag{16}$$

This transformation law seems more natural when we take the viewpoint of Remark 3.3 and define $\tilde{J}_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})} = e^{t_0/\hbar} J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$. Then the term $e^{F/\hbar}$ is absorbed into the transformation of t_0 and $\tilde{J}_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$ is transformed as $\tilde{J}_{\hat{\Phi},(\hat{q},\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})} = f \tilde{J}_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$. In this case, the asymptotic expansion (15) characterizes the canonical J-function J_{can} among all J-functions and we can calculate the mirror transformation from any J-function.

Proposition 3.18. *Let E be a graded abstract quantum D-module and $J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$ be a J-function. Under Assumption 3.15, we have a unique coordinate transformation of the form,*

$$\log q^a = \log \hat{q}^a + \delta^a(\hat{q}), \qquad \delta^a(\hat{q}) \in \mathfrak{m},$$

and $F, f \in \mathcal{O}$, $\deg F = 2$, $\deg f = 0$, $f(q = 0) = 1$ satisfying

$$f e^{F/\hbar} J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})} = e^{\sum_{a=1}^r p_a \log \hat{q}^a/\hbar}(e_0 + o(\hbar^{-1})).$$

The left hand side gives the canonical J-function.

This proposition corresponds to Givental's mirror theorem concerning the relation between two cohomology-valued functions I and J. In our terminology, I is a J-function of a non-canonical frame and J is that for the canonical frame. Givental showed that a coordinate change of the I-function gives the J-function. This proposition is more general than the Givental's original situation because this is formulated in terms of abstract quantum D-modules. We omit the proof because it is similar to the proof appearing in [CK, Giv3].

Next, we define a pairing for an abstract quantum D-module E. We assume that V has a symmetric pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the relation

$$\langle v, p_a w \rangle = \langle p_a v, w \rangle.$$

We would like to extend this pairing of V on E. First we define a $\overline{D}$-module $\overline{E}$. Let $\overline{D}$ be a Heisenberg algebra whose commutation relations are opposite to D:

$$\overline{D} = \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q^1, \ldots, q^r]\!][\boldsymbol{p}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{p}_r], \qquad [\boldsymbol{p}_a, q^b] = -\hbar \delta_a^b q^b, \quad [\boldsymbol{p}_a, \boldsymbol{p}_b] = [q^a, q^b] = 0.$$

$\overline{E}$ is a $\overline{D}$ module which has a bar isomorphism $\overline{} : E \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{E}$ such that $\hbar\cdot\overline{x} = \overline{-\hbar\cdot x}$, $\boldsymbol{p}_a\cdot\overline{x} = \overline{\boldsymbol{p}_a\cdot x}$, $q^a\cdot\overline{x} = \overline{q^a\cdot x}$. Briefly, $\overline{E}$ is E with $\hbar$ action of opposite sign. A frame Φ of E induces a frame $\overline{\Phi}$ of $\overline{E}$: $\overline{\Phi} = \overline{}\circ\Phi\circ\overline{} : V\otimes\mathcal{O}[\hbar]\to\overline{E}$, where $\overline{}$ is defined on $V\otimes\mathcal{O}[\hbar]$ by $\overline{\hbar} = -\hbar$, $\overline{q^a} = q^a$, $\overline{v} = v$ for $v\in V$. The connection $\overline{\nabla}$ associated with the frame $\overline{\Phi}$ is given by

$$\overline{\nabla} = d - \frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_{a=1}^{r}\overline{\Omega}_a\frac{dq^a}{q^a}, \quad \text{where } \overline{\Omega}_a \text{ is defined by } \boldsymbol{p}_a\cdot\overline{\Phi}(v) = \overline{\Phi}(\overline{\Omega}_a(v)) \text{ for } v\in V.$$

Then we have $\overline{\Omega}_a(q,\hbar) = \Omega_a(q,-\hbar)$. Similarly, we can consider flat connections $\overline{\nabla}^0$, $\overline{\nabla}^1$ of the endomorphism bundle which correspond to ∇^0, ∇^1. There exists a unique flat section $\overline{S}(q,\hbar)$ of $\overline{\nabla}^1$ defined in the neighborhood of $q=0$ with the initial condition $\overline{S}(0,\hbar) = \mathrm{id}$. Then we have $\overline{S}(q,\hbar) = S(q,-\hbar)$. Put $\Xi := S^{-1}\circ\Phi^{-1}$ and $\overline{\Xi} := \overline{S}^{-1}\circ\overline{\Phi}^{-1}$. We define a pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ between $\overline{E}$ and E by

$$(\cdot,\cdot)\colon \overline{E}\times E\to\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\hbar^{-1}]\!]\![\![q^1,\dots,q^r]\!], \qquad (x,y) := \left\langle\overline{\Xi}(x),\Xi(y)\right\rangle, \quad x\in\overline{E}, y\in E.$$

It is easy to see that Ξ is transformed as $\hat{\Xi} = \Xi\circ e^{p(\log q-\log\hat{q})/\hbar+F/\hbar}$ by a change of frames $\Phi\mapsto\hat{\Phi}$ and generalized coordinates $(q,\boldsymbol{p})\mapsto(\hat{q},\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})$. Therefore the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is independent of a choice of a frame and generalized coordinates. Because $\nabla^1 S = 0$, the map $\Xi = S^{-1}\Phi^{-1}$ satisfies the following differential equation.

Lemma 3.19.

$$\Xi(\boldsymbol{p}_a\cdot x) = (\hbar q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} + p_a)\Xi(x),$$

From this we deduce the Frobenius property:

$$\hbar q^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}(x,y) = (-\boldsymbol{p}_a\cdot x, y) + (x, \boldsymbol{p}_a\cdot y).$$

Because the pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is symmetric, we have

$$\overline{(x,y)} = (\overline{y},\overline{x}).$$

When the pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is non-degenerate, we may define $J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$ by the following relation.

$$\langle v, J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}\rangle = (\overline{\Phi}(\overline{L}_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}(v)), \Phi(e_0)), \quad v\in V.$$

This corresponds to the definition of the J-function by Givental.

Further, suppose that E is a graded quantum D-module and that there exists a constant n such that

$$\langle\mu v, w\rangle + \langle v, \mu w\rangle = n\langle v, w\rangle.$$

The original quantum D-module arising from the quantum cohomology of M satisfies the above equation for $n = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} M$. In this case, the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ satisfies

$$(\mathcal{E}+n)(x,y) = (\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(x), y) + (x, \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(y)).$$

This follows from $[\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}),\Xi] = 0$. (Here, $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$ acts on $\overline{E}$ as $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(\overline{x}) = \overline{\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})(x)}$.)

Finally, we discuss the polynomiality of the pairing in $\hbar$. In the case of original quantum D-modules, we have a polynomial pairing with respect to $\hbar$. (In fact, it coincides with the Poincaré pairing.) In general, however, the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ does not necessarily take its values in $\mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q]\!]$ and may contain negative powers of $\hbar$. For a canonical frame Φ_{can}, we have $S_{\mathrm{can}}^{-1} = \mathrm{id} + O(\hbar^{-1})$, which we showed before equation (15). Hence we have

$$(\overline{\Phi}_{\mathrm{can}}(v), \Phi_{\mathrm{can}}(w)) = \langle v, w\rangle + O(1/\hbar), \ \text{for } v, w\in V. \tag{17}$$

Proposition 3.20 (polynomiality)**.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $\langle v, \Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}}(w)\rangle = \langle \Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}}(v), w\rangle$ *for* $a = 1, \ldots, r$, $v, w \in V$.

(2) $(\overline{\Phi}_{\mathrm{can}}(v), \Phi_{\mathrm{can}}(w)) = \langle v, w\rangle$ *for* $v, w \in V$.

(3) $\langle \overline{S}(v), S(w)\rangle = \langle v, w\rangle$ *for* $v, w \in V$, *where* $S = S_{\Phi_{\mathrm{can}},(q,\boldsymbol{p})}$.

(4) *The pairing* $(\cdot,\cdot)$ *takes values in* $\mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![q]\!]$.

(5) *The function* $\mathcal{G}(q,\hbar,z) := \langle J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}(q,-\hbar), J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}(qe^{\hbar z},\hbar)\rangle$ *is polynomial in* $\hbar$, *where* $pz := \sum_{a=1}^r p_a z^a$ *and* $qe^{\hbar z} = \{q^a e^{\hbar z^a}\}_{a=1}^r$.

Proof. (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): This follows from the definition.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): Suppose (1) holds. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hbar q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}\langle \overline{S}(v), S(w)\rangle &= \langle \Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}}\overline{S}(v) - \overline{S}(p_a v), S(w)\rangle \\ &\qquad + \langle \overline{S}(v), -\Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}} S(w) + S(p_a w)\rangle \\ &= \langle -\overline{S}(p_a v), S(w)\rangle + \langle \overline{S}(v), S(p_a w)\rangle\end{aligned}$$

by the assumption. Set $F(v,w) := \sum_{d\geq 0} F_d(v,w) q^d := \langle \overline{S}(v), S(w)\rangle$. Denote by $\mathrm{ad}(p_a)$ the operator acting on bilinear forms defined by

$$\mathrm{ad}(p_a)\colon B(v,w) \longmapsto -B(p_a v, w) + B(v, p_a w),$$

where $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ is a bilinear form with values in $\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\hbar^{-1}]\!]$. Then, by the above calculation, $(\hbar d_a - \mathrm{ad}(p_a))F_d = 0$ holds. Because the operator $\hbar d_a - \mathrm{ad}(p_a)$ is invertible for $d_a \neq 0$, we have $F(v,w) = F_0(v,w) = \langle v, w\rangle$. Conversely, suppose $\langle \overline{S}(v), S(w)\rangle = \langle v, w\rangle$ holds for all $v, w \in V$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \hbar q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}\langle v, w\rangle = \hbar q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}\langle \overline{S}(v), S(w)\rangle \\ &= \langle \Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}}\overline{S}(v), S(w)\rangle - \langle \overline{S}(v), \Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}} S(w)\rangle - \langle p_a v, w\rangle + \langle v, p_a w\rangle \\ &= \langle \Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}}\overline{S}(v), S(w)\rangle - \langle \overline{S}(v), \Omega_a^{\mathrm{can}} S(w)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4): This follows from the equation (17).

(4) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5): Note that (3) is equivalent to the fact that $(\overline{\Phi}(e_0), e^{\boldsymbol{p}z}\Phi(e_0))$ is polynomial in $\hbar$. By Lemma 3.19 and $J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}(q,\hbar) = e^{p\log q/\hbar}\Xi(\Phi(e_0))$, we have

$$\Xi(e^{\boldsymbol{p}z}\Phi(e_0)) = e^{\sum_{a=1}^r z^a\left(\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial \log q^a} + p_a\right)}\Xi(\Phi(e_0)) = e^{-p\log q/\hbar} J_{\Phi,(q,\boldsymbol{p})}(qe^{\hbar z},\hbar).$$

Therefore we have $\mathcal{G}(q,\hbar,z) = \langle \overline{\Xi}(\Phi(e_0)), \Xi(e^{\boldsymbol{p}z}\Phi(e_0))\rangle = (\overline{\Phi}(e_0), e^{\boldsymbol{p}z}\Phi(e_0))$. □

Remark 3.21. *When an abstract quantum* D*-module* E *arises from quantum cohomology, we take* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ *to be the Poincaré pairing on* $H^*(M,\mathbb{C})$*. Then by equation (2) in Section 2, the pairing* $(\cdot,\cdot)$ *satisfies the polynomiality and we have* $(x,y) = \langle x,y\rangle$ ($\Phi = \mathrm{id}$ *in this case). (5) is the famous polynomiality property of the* J*-function. It imposes a strong constraint on the* J*-function and Givental used it to calculate the* J*-function* [Giv2, Giv3].

4. EQUIVARIANT FLOER THEORY

In this section, we explicitly construct equivariant Floer cohomology as an abstract quantum D-module for toric complete intersections. More precisely, we construct it for a superspace $(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{V})$ where X_Σ is a toric manifold and $\mathcal{V}$ is a sum of nef line bundles. In the case where $c_1(X_\Sigma/\mathcal{V}) := c_1(TX_\Sigma) - c_1(\mathcal{V})$ is nef, we prove that the equivariant Floer cohomology is isomorphic to the quantum D-module as an abstract quantum D-module.

4.1. **Toric Manifolds.** To fix the notation, we briefly recall the definition of toric manifolds following Audin's book [Aud]. Let Σ be a fan in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\Sigma^{(1)} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ be its 1-skeleton, where the x_i's are primitive vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ which denote generators of one dimensional cones. We set $r := N - n$. For a subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, we define σ_I to be the cone generated by $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$. We assume that Σ is smooth and complete in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \text{ is smooth } &\iff \text{ each cone } \sigma \in \Sigma \text{ is generated by part of a } \mathbb{Z} \text{ basis} \\ \Sigma \text{ is complete } &\iff \text{ its support } |\Sigma| = \cup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma \text{ is equal to } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let π be the following homomorphism.

$$\pi \colon \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{Z}^n, \qquad e_i \mapsto x_i,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^N$. We extend the homomorphism π to $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} = \pi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}} \colon \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^n$. It satisfies $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{Z}^N) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, therefore it induces a homomorphism $\bar{\pi}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of tori $\bar{\pi}_{\mathbb{C}} \colon \mathbb{C}^N/\mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. We define

$$K_{\mathbb{C}} := \ker(\bar{\pi}_{\mathbb{C}} \colon T_{\mathbb{C}}^N \to T_{\mathbb{C}}^n).$$

In the same way, we define $\pi_{\mathbb{R}} \colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $K_{\mathbb{R}} := \ker(\bar{\pi}_{\mathbb{R}} \colon \mathbb{R}^N/\mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Because Σ is complete and smooth, $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ turns out to be connected and isomorphic to $T_{\mathbb{C}}^r$. $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^N/\mathbb{Z}^N \cong T_{\mathbb{C}}^N$. Let $(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ be the coordinates of $T_{\mathbb{C}}^N$. We have an exact sequence.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(K_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\ i\ } \mathbb{C}^N \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow 0. \tag{18}$$

For a subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, let $\overline{I}$ denote the complement of I and $\mathbb{C}^I$ denote the vector space

$$\mathbb{C}^I = \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N \mid i \notin I \Longrightarrow z_i = 0\}.$$

Similarly, for any set Y, we define Y^I as a subset of Y^N. Define an open set U_Σ in $\mathbb{C}^N$ as

$$U_\Sigma := \mathbb{C}^N - \bigcup_{\sigma_I \notin \Sigma} \mathbb{C}^{\overline{I}}.$$

The torus $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on U_Σ freely as a subgroup of $T_{\mathbb{C}}^N$.

$$U_\Sigma \ni (z_1, \dots, z_N) \mapsto (t_1 z_1, \dots, t_N z_N) \quad \text{for } (t_1, \dots, t_N) \in K_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

We define the **toric manifold** X_Σ as the quotient

$$X_\Sigma := U_\Sigma / K_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

It turns out that X_Σ is smooth and compact, and that U_Σ is a principal $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ bundle over X_Σ.

The Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$ is identified with $\mathrm{Hom}(K_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}})$ and also with $H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}^r \cong \mathrm{Hom}(K_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \ni \rho \ &\mapsto \ \mathcal{L}_\rho := \mathbb{C} \times U_\Sigma / (\rho(t)^{-1} v, z) \sim (v, tz),\ t \in K_{\mathbb{C}} \ \in \mathrm{Pic}(X_\Sigma) \\ &\mapsto \ c_1(\mathcal{L}_\rho) \in H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Let e_i^* be the character of $T_{\mathbb{C}}^N$ defined by $e_i^*(t_1, \dots, t_N) := t_i$. Composing it with the inclusion $K_{\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}^N$, we have a character of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the corresponding Chern class u_i in $H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$. The anti-canonical class can be written as $c_1(TX_\Sigma) = u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_N$. The abelian group $\mathrm{Hom}(K_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}})$ is an integral lattice of $\mathrm{Lie}(K_{\mathbb{C}})^\vee$ and we have the following identifications.

$$\begin{aligned} &H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{Lie}(K_{\mathbb{R}})^\vee, && H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{Lie}(K_{\mathbb{C}})^\vee, && H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(K_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}}), \\ &H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{Lie}(K_{\mathbb{R}}), && H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{Lie}(K_{\mathbb{C}}), && H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(T_{\mathbb{C}}, K_{\mathbb{C}}). \end{aligned}$$

We can describe the Kähler cone of $H^2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{R}) = H^{1,1}(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{R})$. Let $M\colon (\mathbb{R}^N)^\vee \to \mathrm{Lie}(K_\mathbb{R})^\vee$ denote the dual of the inclusion $i\colon \mathrm{Lie}(K_\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$. Then we have

$$\text{Kähler cone } := \{\text{nef classes in } H^2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{R})\} = \bigcap_{\sigma_I\in\Sigma} M(\mathbb{R}_+^{\overline{I}}), \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+$ denotes the set of non-negative real numbers. We assume that the interior of $\bigcap_{\sigma_I\in\Sigma} M(\mathbb{R}_+^{\overline{I}})$ is non-empty so that X_Σ becomes a Kähler manifold. We can describe X_Σ as a symplectic reduction of U_Σ. Let $\mu\colon U_\Sigma \to \mathrm{Lie}(K_\mathbb{R})^\vee$ be a moment map of the $T^r_\mathbb{R}$ action.

$$\mu(z_1,\dots,z_N) = M(\frac{1}{2}|z_1|^2,\dots,\frac{1}{2}|z_N|^2).$$

We choose an element η in the interior of the Kähler cone. Then we have an isomorphism

$$X_\Sigma \cong \mu^{-1}(\eta)/T^r_\mathbb{R}.$$

The reduced symplectic form gives a Kähler form of X_Σ and represents the cohomology class η.

Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus\cdots\oplus \mathcal{V}_l$ be a sum of line bundles over X_Σ such that each $v_i := c_1(\mathcal{V}_i)$ is nef. Then we have a convex superspace $(X_\Sigma,\mathcal{V})$. As in Section 2, we take nef classes $p_1,\dots,p_r$ such that they form a basis of $H^2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, when $c_1(X_\Sigma/\mathcal{V})$ is nef, we choose the p_i's so that $c_1(X_\Sigma/\mathcal{V})$ is contained in the cone generated by $p_1,\dots,p_r$. We put $u_i = \sum_{a=1}^r m_i^a p_a$ and $v_j = \sum_{a=1}^r l_j^a p_a$. The matrix (m_i^a) represents M with respect to the basis $\{e_1^*,\dots,e_N^*\}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^N)^\vee$ and the basis $\{p_1,\dots,p_r\}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(K_\mathbb{C})^\vee$ because $u_i = M(e_i^*)$. We establish the following lemma for later use.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Λ be the semigroup in $H_2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})$ generated by effective curves in X_Σ. If $d \notin \Lambda$, we have $\sigma_{I_0} \notin \Sigma$ for $I_0 := \{i \in \{1,\dots,N\} |\ \langle u_i, d\rangle < 0\}$.*

Proof. If $\sigma_{I_0} \in \Sigma$, we have $\langle x, d\rangle \geqq 0$ for any $x \in M(\mathbb{R}_+^{\overline{I_0}}) = \{\sum_{i\notin I_0} c_i u_i |\ c_i \geqq 0\}$. By equation (19), we conclude that x is in the dual cone of the Kähler cone which is equal to Λ. □

The cohomology ring of the toric manifold X_Σ is generated by $p_1,\dots,p_r$ and subject to the following relations, see e.g. [Bri]:

$$u_{i_1}u_{i_2}\dots u_{i_l} = 0, \quad \text{if } \sigma_{\{i_1,\dots,i_l\}} \notin \Sigma. \tag{20}$$

4.2. **Givental's Model for Free Loop Spaces.** We describe Givental's model for the universal covering of the free loop space of X_Σ. Originally, Givental considered this model for $\mathbb{P}^n$ in [Giv1]. The model for toric manifolds was given by Vlassopoulos [Vla].

In order to understand the model, we consider a more general situation. Let X be a simply-connected manifold and $\{p_1,\dots,p_r\}$ be a basis of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathcal{L}(p_i)$ be a line bundle whose first Chern class is p_i. We set $U = \prod_{i=1}^r(\mathcal{L}(p_i)\setminus\{\text{zero section}\})$ which is a principal $T^r_\mathbb{C}$ bundle over X. It is easy to see that U is 2-connected. In the case of toric manifolds, X corresponds to X_Σ and U corresponds to U_Σ. There exists a homeomorphism

$$LU/L_0T^r_\mathbb{C} \cong \widetilde{LX}, \tag{21}$$

where LU denotes the free loop space of U, $L_0T^r_\mathbb{C}$ denotes the set of all contractible loops in $T^r_\mathbb{C}$ and $L_0T^r_\mathbb{C}$ acts on LU by pointwise multiplication. Given a loop $\tilde\gamma\colon S^1 \to U$, we have a disk $\tilde g\colon D^2 \to U$ which contracts the loop $\tilde\gamma$ i.e. $\tilde g|_{\partial D^2} = \tilde\gamma$. This disk $\tilde g$ is uniquely determined up to homotopy because U is 2-connected. Then, by composing with the projection $U \to X$, we obtain a pair $(\gamma,[g])$ consisting of a loop γ in X and a homotopy class of the contracting disk g in X which represents an element of $\widetilde{LX}$. Conversely, if we have an element $(\gamma,[g])$ of $\widetilde{LX}$, we can lift g to the map $\tilde g\colon D^2 \to U$ and then have a loop $\tilde\gamma = \tilde g|_{S^1}$ in U.

Givental's model corresponds to the left hand side of the homeomorphism (21), but contains only polynomial loops. Let $\mathbb{C}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial ring. We define Givental's model L as

$$LU_\Sigma := \mathbb{C}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}]^N - \bigcup_{\sigma_I\notin\Sigma} \mathbb{C}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}]^{\overline{I}}, \qquad L := LU_\Sigma / T^r_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Givental's model L is an infinite dimensional toric variety which may be defined by an infinite dimensional fan. The model L can also be written as a symplectic reduction of LU_Σ.

Any element of L can be represented by an $N-$tuple of Laurent polynomials $(\gamma_1(\zeta),\dots,\gamma_N(\zeta))$, where $\gamma_i\in\mathbb{C}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}]$. For a generic value of $\zeta\in\mathbb{C}$, $(\gamma_1(\zeta),\dots,\gamma_N(\zeta))$ is contained in U_Σ, but there may be finitely many values ζ such that $(\gamma_1(\zeta),\dots,\gamma_N(\zeta))$ is not contained in U_Σ. Therefore, we can regard $[\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_N]$ as a holomorphic map

$$[\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_N]\colon \mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\text{finite points}\}\to X_\Sigma,$$

where $\mathbb{P}^1=\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$. Because X_Σ is a complete variety, we can extend this map on the whole $\mathbb{P}^1$. Consequently we have a (not continuous) map

$$L\to \mathrm{Hol}(\mathbb{P}^1,X_\Sigma),$$

where $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathbb{P}^1,X_\Sigma)$ denotes the set of holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to X_Σ. However, some information is lost when we pass from L to $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathbb{P}^1,X_\Sigma)$. For example, take a tuple $(t_1(\zeta),\dots,t_N(\zeta))$ in $\mathbb{C}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}]^N$ such that $t_i(\zeta)\neq 0$ and for a generic value $\zeta\in\mathbb{C}$, $(t_1(\zeta),\dots,t_N(\zeta))$ is contained in $K_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then two elements $[\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_N]$ and $[t_1\gamma_1,\dots,t_N\gamma_N]$ give the same element in $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathbb{P}^1,X_\Sigma)$.

We define an S^1 action on the model L by

$$(\gamma_1(\zeta),\dots,\gamma_N(\zeta))\mapsto(\gamma_1(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\zeta),\dots,\gamma_N(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\zeta)),\quad e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\in S^1.$$

This action is Hamiltonian with respect to the Kähler forms obtained by symplectic reduction. The Hamiltonian H can be written as

$$H([\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_N])=\sum_{i=1}^N\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2}\nu|a_{i,\nu}|^2,\quad \gamma_i(\zeta)=\sum_\nu a_{i,\nu}\zeta^\nu$$

on the level set of the symplectic reduction. The S^1-fixed components are all isomorphic to X_Σ and each of them is parameterized by $H_2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})$. For $d\in H_2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})$, we define

$$(X_\Sigma)_d := \left\{(z_1\zeta^{\langle u_1,d\rangle},\dots,z_N\zeta^{\langle u_N,d\rangle})\in L\right\}.$$

This is fixed by S^1 because $\{(e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle u_1,d\rangle\theta},\dots,e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle u_N,d\rangle\theta})\}$ forms a one-parameter subgroup of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$. In this paper, Floer theory means Bott-Morse theory on the infinite dimensional variety L. We take the Hamiltonian H as the Bott-Morse function. The gradient flow of H can be written as

$$\phi_t([\gamma_1(\zeta),\dots,\gamma_N(\zeta)])=[\gamma_1(\zeta e^{-t}),\dots,\gamma_N(\zeta e^{-t})],\quad t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

The critical set of the Morse function H is identical with the S^1 fixed point set $\coprod_d (X_\Sigma)_d$.

Givental's model has a stratification by finite dimensional toric manifolds. Take d_1, d_2 in $H_2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})$ and define

$$L^{d_2}_{d_1} := \left\{(\gamma_1(\zeta),\dots,\gamma_N(\zeta))\in L \,\middle|\, \gamma_i(\zeta)=\begin{cases}\sum_{\nu=\langle u_i,d_1\rangle}^{\langle u_i,d_2\rangle} a_{i\nu}\zeta^\nu \text{ if } \langle u_i,d_2-d_1\rangle\geqq 0,\\ 0 \qquad \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\right\}$$

Note that $L_{d_1}^{d_2}$ is empty if $\sigma_{I_0} \notin \Sigma$ for the subset $I_0 := \{i \mid \langle u_i, d_2 - d_1\rangle < 0\}$, and $L_{d_1}^{d_2}$ is the closure of the union of all gradient trajectories which connect two critical submanifolds $(X_\Sigma)_{d_1}$ and $(X_\Sigma)_{d_2}$:

$$L_{d_1}^{d_2} = \overline{\{\gamma \in L | \lim_{t\to\infty} \phi_t(\gamma) \in (X_\Sigma)_{d_1},\ \lim_{t\to -\infty} \phi_t(\gamma) \in (X_\Sigma)_{d_2}\}}.$$

We first claim that the union of all these spaces is equal to L.

$$\bigcup_{d_1,d_2} L_{d_1}^{d_2} = L.$$

To see this, it suffices to show that there exists $d \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\langle u_i, d\rangle > 0$ for all i. Because Σ is complete, we have positive integers $r_1, \dots, r_N$ such that $\pi(\sum_{i=1}^N r_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i x_i = 0$. By the exact sequence (18), there exists a $d \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $i(d) = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i e_i$. Then we have $\langle u_i, d\rangle = \langle e_i^*, \sum_{i=1}^N r_i e_i\rangle = r_i > 0$.

These finite dimensional spaces were introduced by Givental [Giv3] under the name "toric map space". They are also toric manifolds which are smooth and compact. $L_{d_1}^{d_2}$ can be considered as a compactification of the space of degree $d_2 - d_1$ maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to X_Σ. In fact, when $I_0 = \emptyset$, generic elements of it represent degree $d_2 - d_1$ maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to X_Σ. The complex dimension of $L_{d_1}^{d_2}$ is equal to $\sum_{i \notin I_0} \langle u_i, d_2 - d_1\rangle + n - |I_0|$. On the other hand, the virtual dimension of the space of degree $d_2 - d_1$ maps is equal to $\langle c_1(M), d_2 - d_1\rangle + \dim X_\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle u_i, d_2 - d_1\rangle + n$. Therefore, we have the inequality

$$\dim L_{d_1}^{d_2} \geqq \mathrm{virt.}\dim \mathrm{Hol}_{d_2 - d_1}(\mathbb{P}^1, X_\Sigma).$$

In the case $I_0 = \emptyset$, we have equality. The reason why the dimension is sometimes larger than the virtual one is that the stable manifold $L_{d_1}^{\infty}$ and the unstable manifold $L_{-\infty}^{d_2}$ do not necessarily intersect transversely, where

$$L_{d_1}^{\infty} := \left\{ (\gamma_1(\zeta), \dots, \gamma_N(\zeta)) \in L \,\middle|\, \gamma_i(\zeta) = \sum_{\nu \geqq \langle u_i, d_1\rangle} a_{i\nu}\zeta^\nu \right\},$$

$$L_{-\infty}^{d_2} := \left\{ (\gamma_1(\zeta), \dots, \gamma_N(\zeta)) \in L \,\middle|\, \gamma_i(\zeta) = \sum_{\nu \leqq \langle u_i, d_2\rangle} a_{i\nu}\zeta^\nu \right\}.$$

We need to deal with these intersections not geometrically but cohomologically so that we have the 'correct' intersection theory. For this, we later define the Poincaré duals of semi-infinite dimensional spaces $L_{-\infty}^{d_2}$ and $L_{d_1}^{\infty}$.

Define S^1 equivariant line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{i,\nu}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu}$ over L by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{i,\nu} :=& \mathbb{C} \times LU_\Sigma / (t_i^{-1} v, (a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}) \sim (v, (t_j a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}),\ t \in K_\mathbb{C},\\
& [v, (a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}] \mapsto [e^{\sqrt{-1}\nu\theta} v, (e^{\sqrt{-1}\mu\theta} a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}], \quad \text{for } e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in S^1,\\
\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu} :=& \mathbb{C} \times LU_\Sigma / (v_i(t)^{-1} v, (a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}) \sim (v, (t_j a_{j,\mu})_{j,\mu}),\ t \in K_\mathbb{C},\\
& [v, (a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}] \mapsto [e^{\sqrt{-1}\nu\theta} v, (e^{\sqrt{-1}\mu\theta} a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}], \quad \text{for } e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in S^1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $v_i(t)$ denotes the character corresponding to the class $v_i = c_1(\mathcal{V}_i)$. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{i,\nu}$ has the following equivariant section $s_{i,\nu}$.

$$s_{i,\nu} \colon [(a_{j,\mu})_{j,\mu}] \mapsto [a_{i,\nu}, (a_{j,\mu})_{j,\mu}].$$

The stable manifold $L_{d_1}^{\infty}$ can be described in terms of the sections $s_{i,\nu}$ as

$$L_{d_1}^{\infty} = \text{zero-locus of the section } \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{\mu < \langle u_j, d_1 \rangle} s_{j,\mu} \right).$$

We also define S^1 equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{L}(p_a)$ over L by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(p_a) &:= \mathbb{C} \times LU_{\Sigma} / (p_a(t)^{-1} v, (a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}) \sim (v, (t_j a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}), \ t \in K_{\mathbb{C}}, \\ [v, (a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}] &\mapsto [v, (e^{\sqrt{-1}\mu\theta} a_{j\mu})_{j,\mu}], \qquad \text{for } e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in S^1, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_a(t)$ denotes the character corresponding to the class p_a. Introduce another S^1 action on $\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu}$ as scalar multiplication on its fibers. This S^1 acts on $\mathcal{L}_{i,\nu}$ and $\mathcal{L}(p_a)$ trivially. Thus we have T^2 equivariant line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{i,\nu}$, $\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu}$ and $\mathcal{L}(p_a)$. Let $P_a := c_1^{T^2}(\mathcal{L}(p_a))$, $U_{i,\nu} := c_1^{T^2}(\mathcal{L}_{i,\nu})$ and $V_{i,\nu} := c_1^{T^2}(\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu})$ denote the equivariant first Chern classes. Let $\hbar, \lambda$ denote generators of the T^2 equivariant cohomology of a point, where $\hbar$ is a generator of the S^1-action rotating loops and λ is that of the fiberwise S^1-action. More precisely, let $\mathbb{C}w^n w'^m$ denote a rank one T^2 module on which T^2 acts as $w^n w'^m \mapsto e^{\sqrt{-1}(n\theta + m\theta')} w^n w'^m$, $(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta'}) \in T^2$. Then we define $\hbar := c_1^{T^2}(\mathbb{C}w^{-1} \to \mathrm{pt})$ and $\lambda := c_1^{T^2}(\mathbb{C}w'^{-1} \to \mathrm{pt})$.

Lemma 4.2. *The equivariant Chern classes $U_{i,\nu}$, $V_{i,\nu}$ can be written as*

$$U_{i,\nu} = \sum_{a=1}^{r} m_i^a P_a - \nu\hbar, \qquad V_{i,\nu} = \sum_{a=1}^{r} l_i^a P_a - \nu\hbar - \lambda.$$

The restriction of P_a to the fixed component gives

$$P_a|_{(X_\Sigma)_d} = p_a + \langle p_a, d \rangle \hbar.$$

Proof. The proposition follows from the isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_{i,\nu} \cong \bigotimes_{a=1}^{r} \mathcal{L}(p_a)^{\otimes m_i^a} \otimes \mathbb{C}w^{\nu}$, $\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu} \cong \bigotimes_{a=1}^{r} \mathcal{L}(p_a)^{\otimes l_i^a} \otimes \mathbb{C}w^{\nu} w'^{-1}$ and $L(p_a)|_{(X_\Sigma)_d} \cong L(p_a)|_{(X_\Sigma)_0} \otimes \mathbb{C}w^{-\langle p_a, d \rangle}$ as T^2 equivariant line bundles. □

Next we define covering transformations on L. As in Section 2, let $\{q^1, \dots, q^r\}$ denote a basis of $H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ dual to $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$. Each q^a defines the following covering transformation $Q^a \colon L \to L$.

$$Q^a \colon (\gamma_1(\zeta), \dots, \gamma_N(\zeta)) \mapsto (\zeta^{-m_1^a} \gamma_1(\zeta), \dots, \zeta^{-m_N^a} \gamma_N(\zeta)).$$

Note that $m_i^a = \langle M(e_i^*), q^a \rangle = \langle e_i^*, i(q_a) \rangle$ and $(\zeta^{m_1^a}, \dots, \zeta^{m_N^a})$ is a one-parameter subgroup of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to q^a. Note that Q^a is an S^1 equivariant map. Over the finite dimensional strata, Q^a defines a map $Q^a \colon L_{d_1}^{d_2} \to L_{d_1 - q^a}^{d_2 - q^a}$.

Proposition 4.3. *The pull back of P_a by Q^b is*

$$Q^{b*}(P_a) = P_a - \hbar \delta_a^b$$

Therefore we have the commutation relation $[P_a, (Q^b)^*] = \delta_a^b (Q^b)^*$.

Proof. This follows from the isomorphism $Q^{a*}(L(p_b)) \cong L(p_b) \otimes \mathbb{C}w^{\delta_b^a}$. □

4.3. **Equivariant Floer theory.** As already remarked, equivariant Floer theory considered in this paper is Bott-Morse theory on L which uses the Hamiltonian H as Bott-Morse function. The gradient flows and critical submanifolds were explicitly described in the previous subsection. None of the moduli spaces $L_{d_1}^{d_2}$ of gradient trajectories is real one-dimensional. Hence all the differentials in the Morse-Witten-Floer complex are zero and we have an isomorphism of modules:

$$FH^*(L;H) \cong H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\![H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})]\!].$$

We furthermore investigate a D-module structure on the Floer cohomology. For that, we realize classes in Floer cohomology as classes of semi-infinite degree.

We formulate equivariant Floer theory in the category of superspaces. An object of the category is a pair consisting of a topological space and a (possibly infinite dimensional) vector bundle on it. A morphism between two objects $(M, \mathcal{V})$ and $(N, \mathcal{W})$ is a pair consisting of a continuous map $f\colon M \to N$ and a bundle map $\phi\colon f^*(\mathcal{W}) \to \mathcal{V}$. The cohomology of the superspace $(M, \mathcal{V})$ is defined by

$$H^*(M/\mathcal{V}) := H^*(M).$$

The functor H^* is a contravariant functor from the category of superspaces to the category of graded rings. For a morphism $(f, \phi)\colon (M, \mathcal{V}) \to (N, \mathcal{W})$, we have a pull back

$$(f, \phi)^*\colon H^*(N/\mathcal{W}) \to H^*(M/\mathcal{V})$$

which coincides with the ordinary pull back by f. When f is a proper map between manifolds M and N, ϕ is injective and $\mathrm{Coker}(\phi)$ is of finite rank, we can define a push-forward

$$(f, \phi)_*\colon H^*(M/\mathcal{V}) \to H^*(N/\mathcal{W}), \quad \alpha \mapsto f_*(\alpha \cup \mathit{Euler}(\mathrm{Coker}(\phi))).$$

This raises the degree by $(\dim N - \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{W}) - (\dim M - \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{V})$. This definition of push-forward comes from the following definition of the fundamental class of the superspaces: $[M/\mathcal{V}] := [M] \cap \mathit{Euler}(\mathcal{V})$ when M is a compact manifold. A cohomology class of the superspace $(M, \mathcal{V})$ behaves like the class restricted to the zero locus of a transverse section of $\mathcal{V}$.

In order to define Floer cohomology, we use the T^2-equivariant cohomology of superspaces. We have T^2-equivariant infinite dimensional vector bundles $\mathcal{W}_{d_1}^{d_2}$ over L indexed by d_1 and d_2 in $H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$.

$$\mathcal{W}_{d_1}^{d_2} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \bigoplus_{\nu=-\infty}^{\langle u_i, d_1\rangle - 1} \mathcal{L}_{i,\nu} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \bigoplus_{\nu=\langle v_i, d_2\rangle}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_{i,\nu}.$$

Because the cohomology of Givental's model L is the polynomial ring generated by P_a, $\hbar$ and λ, we have [1]

$$H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}_{d_1}^{d_2}) = \mathbb{C}[P_1, \ldots, P_r, \hbar, \lambda].$$

When $\langle u_i, d_1'\rangle \leqq \langle u_i, d_1\rangle$ and $\langle v_i, d_2\rangle \leqq \langle v_i, d_2'\rangle$ hold for all i, we have a natural morphism $(\mathrm{id}, \iota)\colon (L, \mathcal{W}_{d_1}^{d_2}) \to (L, \mathcal{W}_{d_1'}^{d_2'})$ and a push-forward.

$$(\mathrm{id}, \iota)_*\colon H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}_{d_1}^{d_2}) \longrightarrow H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}_{d_1'}^{d_2'}), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \cup \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{\nu=\langle u_i, d_1'\rangle}^{\langle u_i, d_1\rangle - 1} U_{i,\nu} \cup \prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{\nu=\langle v_i, d_2\rangle + 1}^{\langle v_i, d_2'\rangle} V_{i,\nu}.$$

---

[1] In general, the S^1 equivariant cohomology of the universal cover $\widetilde{LM}$ of free loop space for simply-connected manifold M contains a polynomial ring generated by two-dimensional classes of M. Furthermore, after the localization, we have an isomorphism

$$H^*_{S^1}(\widetilde{LM}, \mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[\hbar]} \mathbb{R}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}] \cong \mathbb{R}[p_1, \ldots, p_r, \hbar, \hbar^{-1}],$$

where $\{p_a\}_{a=1}^r$ is a linear basis of $H^2(M)$ [Iri1].

This raises the degree by $2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\langle u_i, d_1-d_1'\rangle+2\sum_{i=1}^{l}\langle v_i, d_2'-d_2\rangle$. Therefore $\{H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1})\}_{d_1,d_2}$ forms an inductive system and we take its limit.

$$A^*_{T^2} := \varinjlim_{d_1,d_2} H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1})\left[2\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{N}\langle u_i,d_1\rangle - 2\sum_{i=1}^{l}\langle v_i,d_2\rangle\right],$$

where the bracket $[\cdots]$ means a shift of grading, e.g. $M[i]^n = M^{i+n}$ for a graded module M. Then $A^*_{T^2}$ becomes a graded module because of the shift of grading. It contains $H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1})$ as a submodule. Each element in $A^*_{T^2}$ has an infinite product expression which can be considered to be a push-forward to $H^*_{T^2}(L)$ as follows:

$$\alpha\cup\prod_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{\nu<\langle u_i,d_1\rangle}U_{i,\nu}\cup\prod_{i=1}^{l}\prod_{\nu\geq\langle v_i,d_2\rangle}V_{i,\nu}\quad \text{for } \alpha\in H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1}).$$

This expression is compatible with the inductive limit.

We explain a geometric meaning of elements in $A^*_{T^2}$. The class 1 in $H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1})$ is, after being pushed forward to L, considered to be the Poincaré dual of a zero-locus of any transverse section of $\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1}$. Therefore it represents the fundamental class of the superspace

$$(L^\infty_{d_1},\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l}\bigoplus_{\nu=\langle v_i,d_2\rangle}^{\infty}\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu}) \tag{22}$$

over the stable manifold $L^\infty_{d_1}$. We relate this superspace with (the model of) the loop space of the complete intersection in X_Σ when $d_1=d_2$. When we have a transverse section $s\colon X_\Sigma\to\mathcal{V}$ and its zero-locus $Y := s^{-1}(0)$, the model L_Y for the loop space of Y may be written as

$$L_Y := \{[\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_N]\in L|\ s(\gamma_1(\zeta),\dots,\gamma_N(\zeta))=0\}\,.$$

In general, it is the wrong space. In other words, its finite dimensional strata do not have the expected dimensions. Instead we regard it as a superspace. The coefficient of ζ^ν of $s(\gamma_1(\zeta),\dots,\gamma_N(\zeta))$ takes values in the bundle $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l}\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu}$. Therefore, the superspace (22) can be considered to approximate a stable manifold in $\widetilde{LY}$ when $d_1=d_2$.

The module $A^*_{T^2}$ has a D_{poly}-module structure, where D_{poly} is the polynomial part of D, i.e. $D_{\text{poly}} := \mathbb{C}[q,\boldsymbol{p},\hbar]$. The covering transformation Q^a induces maps $Q^a\colon (L,\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1})\to(L,\mathcal{W}^{d_2-q^b}_{d_1-q^b})$ and $Q^{a*}\colon H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_2-q^a}_{d_1-q^a})\to H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1})$ which is compatible with the inductive limit. Thus we have a pull back $Q^{a*}\colon A^*_{T^2}\to A^*_{T^2}$ which raises the degree by $2\langle c_1(X_\Sigma/\mathcal{V}),q^a\rangle=\deg q^a$. By Proposition 4.3, D_{poly} acts on $A^*_{T^2}$ by $q^a\mapsto Q^{a*}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_a\mapsto P_a\cup$. We define the T^2-equivariant semi-infinite cohomology $H^{\infty/2}_{T^2}$ as a submodule of $A^*_{T^2}$ by

$$H^{\infty/2}_{T^2} := \sum_{d\in H_2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})} H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d}_{d})\subset A^*_{T^2}.$$

It is a sub D_{poly}-module of $A^*_{T^2}$. Define the Floer fundamental cycle Δ as the image in $H^{\infty/2}_{T^2}$ of the class 1 in $H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^0_0)$. This class Δ is a fundamental class of the stable manifold $(L^\infty_0,\oplus_{i=1}^{l}\oplus_{\nu\geq 0}\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu})$.

Semi-infinite homology can be defined by replacing $\hbar$ with $-\hbar$. Define

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{d_2}_{d_1} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l}\bigoplus_{\nu=-\infty}^{\langle v_i,d_1\rangle}\mathcal{V}_{i,\nu}\oplus\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N}\bigoplus_{\nu=\langle u_i,d_2\rangle+1}^{\infty}\mathcal{L}_{i,\nu}.$$

Then we have an inductive system $\{H^*_{T^2}(L/\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{d_2}_{d_1})\}_{d_1,d_2}$ and its limit

$$A^{T^2}_* := \varinjlim_{d_1,d_2} H^*_{T^2}(L/\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{d_2}_{d_1})\left[-2\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{N}\langle u_i, d_2\rangle + 2\sum_{i=1}^{l}\langle v_i, d_1\rangle\right].$$

Then A_* becomes a graded module because of the shift of grading. The covering transformation Q^a gives a push-forward $Q^a_*\colon H^*_{T^2}(L/\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{d_2}_{d_1}) \to H^*_{T^2}(L/\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{d_2-q^a}_{d_1-q^a})$. Therefore, $A^{T^2}_*$ has an action of $\overline{D}_{\mathrm{poly}}$, the polynomial part of $\overline{D}$, by $q^a \mapsto Q^a_*$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_a \mapsto P_a\cup$. The operation Q^a_* also raises the degree by $\deg q^a$. We define the T^2-equivariant semi-infinite homology $H^{T^2}_{\infty/2}$ as

$$H^{T^2}_{\infty/2} := \sum_d H^*_{T^2}(L/\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{d}_{d}) \subset A^{T^2}_*.$$

This is preserved by the action of $\overline{D}_{\mathrm{poly}}$. Denote by $\overline{\Delta}$ the image in $H^{T^2}_{\infty/2}$ of the class 1 in $H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^0_0)$. We have a bar isomorphism $\overline{}$ between $A^*_{T^2}$ and $A^{T^2}_*$ defined by $\hbar \mapsto -\hbar$. More precisely, on the submodule $H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1})$, it is defined by

$$\overline{}\colon H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_2}_{d_1}) \longrightarrow H^*_{T^2}(L/\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{-d_1}_{-d_2}), \quad f(P,\hbar) \mapsto f(P,-\hbar).$$

The bar isomorphism maps $H^{\infty/2}_{T^2}$ to $H^{T^2}_{\infty/2}$, i.e. $\overline{}\colon H^{\infty/2}_{T^2} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{T^2}_{\infty/2}$.

Next we define a pairing between $H^{\infty/2}_{T^2}$ and $H^{T^2}_{\infty/2}$. Let α and β be elements of $H^*_{T^2}(L/\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{d_2}_{d_2})$ and $H^*_{T^2}(L/\mathcal{W}^{d_1}_{d_1})$ respectively. If $\langle u_i, d_2 - d_1\rangle \geqq 0$ and $\langle v_i, d_2 - d_1\rangle \geqq 0$ for all i, we define

$$\int_L \alpha \cup \beta := \int_{L^{\infty}_{d_1} \bigcap L^{d_2}_{-\infty}} \alpha \cup \beta \cup \prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{\nu=\langle v_i,d_1\rangle}^{\langle v_i,d_2\rangle} V_{i,\nu}.$$

This takes values in $\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\lambda]$. In general, however, it is not well-defined.
(Case 1): When there exists i_0 such that $\langle v_{i_0}, d_2 - d_1\rangle < 0$. Because v_{i_0} is nef, $d_2 - d_1$ is not in the semigroup Λ. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\sigma_{I_0} \notin \Sigma$ for $I_0 := \{i |\ \langle u_i, d_2 - d_1\rangle < 0\}$. Therefore the intersection $L^{\infty}_{d_1} \cap L^{d_2}_{-\infty}$ is empty and we set $\int_L \alpha \cup \beta = 0$.
(Case 2): When $\langle v_i, d_2 - d_1\rangle \geqq 0$ holds for all i. We choose d_2' such that $\langle d_2' - d_1, u_i\rangle \geqq 0$ and $\langle d_2' - d_2, u_i\rangle \geqq 0$ hold for all i. Then we define

$$\int_L \alpha \cup \beta := \int_{L^{\infty}_{d_1} \bigcap L^{d_2'}_{-\infty}} \alpha \cup \beta \cup \prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{\nu=\langle v_i,d_1\rangle}^{\langle v_i,d_2\rangle} V_{i,\nu} \cup \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{\nu=\langle u_i,d_2\rangle+1}^{\langle u_i,d_2'\rangle} U_{i,\nu}.$$

This does not depend on the choice of d_2'.

We also define a q-deformed pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ between semi-infinite homology and cohomology as follows:

$$(\alpha,\beta) := \sum_{d\in H_2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})} q^d \int_L \alpha \cup Q^{-d*}(\beta), \quad \text{for } \alpha \in H^{T^2}_{\infty/2}, \beta \in H^{\infty/2}_{T^2}, \tag{23}$$

where $Q^d := (Q^1)^{\langle p_1,d\rangle}(Q^2)^{\langle p_2,d\rangle}\cdots(Q^r)^{\langle p_r,d\rangle}$. It decreases the degree by $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X_\Sigma = 2n$ and takes values in $\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\lambda][\![q,q^{-1}]\!]$.

Define $\Delta_d := (Q^d)^*\Delta$ for d in $H_2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})$. Let $i_d\colon (X_\Sigma)_d \hookrightarrow L$ be the inclusion. Hereafter we consider Δ and Δ_d to be expanded as infinite products, i.e.

$$\Delta_d = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{\nu<\langle u_i,d\rangle} U_{i,\nu} \cup \prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{\nu\geqq\langle v_i,d\rangle} V_{i,\nu}.$$

We define a localization map $i_d^*(\cdot/\Delta_d)\colon A_{T^2}^* \to H^*(X_\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}(\lambda,\hbar)$ by using Lemma 4.2 as

$$\begin{aligned} i_d\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta_d}\right) &:= i_d^*\left(\alpha \cup \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\prod_{\nu<\langle u_i,d_1\rangle} U_{i,\nu}}{\prod_{\nu<\langle u_i,d\rangle} U_{i,\nu}} \cup \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\prod_{\nu\geqq\langle v_i,d_2\rangle} V_{i,\nu}}{\prod_{\nu\geqq\langle v_i,d\rangle} V_{i,\nu}}\right) \\ &:= i_d^*(\alpha) \cup \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\prod_{\nu>0}(u_i+(\langle u_i,d-d_1\rangle+\nu)\hbar)}{\prod_{\nu>0}(u_i+\nu\hbar)} \cup \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\prod_{\nu\geqq 0}(v_i+(\langle v_i,d-d_2\rangle-\nu)\hbar-\lambda)}{\prod_{\nu\geqq 0}(v_i-\nu\hbar-\lambda)} \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for $\alpha\in H_{T^2}^*(L/\mathcal{W}_{d_1}^{d_2})$. We can see that the right hand side gives an element in $H^*(X_\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}(\lambda,\hbar)$. The denominator $i_d^*(\Delta_d)$ is considered to be the Euler class of the negative normal bundle of $(X_\Sigma)_d$. Therefore, this map defines a partial integration over an open stratum of the unstable manifold $(L_{-\infty}^d, \oplus_{i=1}^l \oplus_{\nu\leqq\langle u_i,d\rangle} \mathcal{V}_{i,\nu})$ of $(X_\Sigma)_d$. This localization also appears in [Vla]. We next describe the restriction of the localization map to $H_{T^2}^{\infty/2}$.

Lemma 4.4. *On the submodule $H_{T^2}^{\infty/2}$, $i_d^*(\cdot/\Delta_d)$ takes values in $H^*(X_\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\lambda,\hbar,\hbar^{-1}]$. Moreover, if $d\notin\Lambda$, we have $i_d^*(\Delta/\Delta_d)=0$.*

Proof. Consider the case where $d_1=d_2$ in the equation (24), and set $d'=d-d_1=d-d_2$. It suffices to consider the case where there exists i_0 such that $\langle v_{i_0},d'\rangle<0$ holds. In this case, d' is not in the semigroup Λ because v_{i_0} is nef. Therefore by Lemma 4.1, we have $\sigma_{I_0}\notin\Sigma$ for $I_0:=\{i|\ \langle u_i,d'\rangle<0\}$. Hence by (20), we have $\prod_{i\in I_0}u_i=0$. Because the first term contains this factor, $i_d^*(\alpha/\Delta_d)$ vanishes. The last statement follows from the same argument. □

By using the lemma, we define a map $\Xi\colon H_{T^2}^{\infty/2}\to H^*(X_\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\hbar^{-1},\lambda][\![q,q^{-1}]$ by

$$\Xi(\alpha):=\sum_{d\in H_2(X_\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})} q^d i_d^*\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta_d}\right). \tag{25}$$

Proposition 4.5. *The map Ξ is a $\mathbb{C}[q^1,\dots,q^r]$-linear injection and preserves the degree. Moreover, restricted on $\mathbb{C}[Q^*,P,\hbar,\lambda]\Delta$, it takes values in $H^*(X_\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\hbar^{-1},\lambda][\![q]\!]$.*

Proof. It is clear that Ξ preserves the degree. First we have

$$i_d^*\left(\frac{Q^{a*}(\alpha)}{\Delta_d}\right)=i_d^*Q^{a*}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta_{d-q^a}}\right)=i_{d-q^a}^*\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta_{d-q^a}}\right)$$

because $Q^a\circ i_d=i_{d-q^a}$. Thus, Ξ is $\mathbb{C}[q^1,\dots,q^r]$-linear. Set $\alpha=f(P,\hbar,\lambda)\Delta$ for some polynomial f. If $\langle p_a,d\rangle<0$ holds for some a, we have $i_d^*(\Delta/\Delta_d)=0$ because p_a is nef and $d\notin\Lambda$. Therefore $\Xi(\alpha)$ has no negative powers of q^a. From this we see that $\Xi(\mathbb{C}[Q^*,P,\hbar,\lambda]\Delta)\subset H^*(X_\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\hbar^{-1},\lambda][\![q]\!]$. Finally we show the injectivity of Ξ. Suppose we have $\Xi(\alpha)=0$ for $\alpha=f(P,\hbar,\lambda)\in H_{T^2}^*(L/\mathcal{W}_{d_1}^{d_2})$. Take d' such that $\langle u_i,d'-d_j\rangle\geqq 0$ holds for all i, j. In this case we also have $\langle v_i,d'-d_j\rangle\geqq 0$ because $d'-d_j$ is in Λ by Lemma 4.1. Then we have

$$0=i_{d'}^*\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta_{d'}}\right)=f(p_a+\langle p_a,d'\rangle\hbar,\hbar,\lambda)\cup\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{l}\prod_{\nu=1}^{\langle v_i,d'-d_2\rangle}(v_i+\nu\hbar-\lambda)}{\prod_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{\nu=1}^{\langle u_i,d'-d_1\rangle}(u_i+\nu\hbar)}.$$

Therefore, we have $f(p_a+\langle p_a,d'\rangle\hbar,\hbar,\lambda)=0$ in $H^*(X)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\lambda]$. It holds for all d' satisfying $\langle u_i,d'-d_j\rangle\geqq 0$, hence we have $f=0$ as a polynomial. □

Lemma 4.2 immediately establishes the following differential equation which is the same as the one in Lemma 3.19.

Proposition 4.6.

$$\Xi(P_a \cup \alpha) = (\hbar q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} + p_a)\Xi(\alpha).$$

In semi-infinite homology, we define $\overline{\Delta}_d := Q^d_*(\overline{\Delta})$. Similarly, for an element α in $H^{T^2}_{\infty/2}$, we have a well-defined element $i^*_{-d}(\alpha/\overline{\Delta}_d)$ in $H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \hbar, \hbar^{-1}]$. Therefore we can define $\overline{\Xi}\colon H^{T^2}_{\infty/2} \to H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \hbar, \hbar^{-1}][\![q, q^{-1}]\!]$ by

$$\overline{\Xi}(\alpha) := \sum_{d \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})} q^d i^*_{-d} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\overline{\Delta}_d} \right).$$

This is related to Ξ by the formula $\overline{\Xi} = \overline{} \circ \Xi \circ \overline{}$, where $\overline{}$ acts on $H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}, \lambda][\![q]\!]$ by $\hbar \mapsto -\hbar$. The map $\overline{\Xi}$ is also a $\mathbb{C}[q^1, \ldots, q^r]$-linear injection and preserves the degree. Moreover, restricted on $\mathbb{C}[Q_*, P, \hbar, \lambda]\Delta$, it takes values in $H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}, \lambda][\![q]\!]$.

We calculate the pairing $\int_L$ by using the localization theorem in equivariant cohomology, see e.g. [AB]. For d in $H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$, we define a map $i^*_d(\cdot \cup \cdot)/E_d$ as follows:

$$\frac{i^*_d(\alpha \cup \beta)}{E_d} := i^*_d \left(\frac{\alpha}{\overline{\Delta}_{-d}} \right) i^*_d \left(\frac{\beta}{\Delta_d} \right) \cup \prod_{i=1}^{l} (v_i - \lambda), \quad \text{for } \alpha \in H^{T^2}_{\infty/2}, \beta \in H^{\infty/2}_{T^2}.$$

Formally, E_d can be written as $\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\nu \neq 0} (u_i - \nu\hbar) \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_\nu (v_i - \nu\hbar - \lambda)$. It is considered to be the Euler class of the normal bundle of $(X_\Sigma)_d$. A direct application of the localization theorem shows

Proposition 4.7 (localization)**.**

$$\int_L \alpha \cup \beta = \sum_{d \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})} \int_{X_\Sigma} \frac{i^*_d(\alpha \cup \beta)}{E_d}.$$

This is an infinite dimensional version of the localization theorem. Note that each term on the right hand side is in the localized ring $\mathbb{C}[\lambda, \hbar, \hbar^{-1}]$, but the total sum is in $\mathbb{C}[\lambda, \hbar]$.

Proposition 4.8. *For $\alpha \in H^{T^2}_{\infty/2}(L)$ and $\beta \in H^{\infty/2}_{T^2}(L)$, we have*

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{X_\Sigma} \overline{\Xi}(\alpha) \cup \Xi(\beta) \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}).$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the localization formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{d \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})} q^d \sum_{d' \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})} \int_{X_\Sigma} \frac{i^*_{d'}(\alpha \cup Q^{-d^*}\beta)}{E_{d'}} \\
&= \sum_{d \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})} q^d \sum_{d' \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})} \int_{X_\Sigma} i^*_{d'} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\overline{\Delta}_{-d'}} \right) \cup i^*_{d'} \left(\frac{Q^{-d^*}(\beta)}{\Delta_{d'}} \right) \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}) \\
&= \sum_{d, d'} \int_{X_\Sigma} q^{-d'} i^*_{d'} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\overline{\Delta}_{-d'}} \right) \cup q^{d+d'} i^*_{d+d'} \left(\frac{\beta}{\Delta_{d+d'}} \right) \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}) \\
&= \int_{X_\Sigma} \overline{\Xi}(\alpha) \cup \Xi(\beta) \cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The proposition corresponds to the definition of pairing in the abstract quantum D-module.

Finally we define equivariant Floer cohomology and homology. Consider a D_{poly} module $H^{\infty/2}_{T^2,+} := \mathbb{C}[Q^*, P, \hbar, \lambda]\Delta \subset H^{\infty/2}_{T^2}$ generated by Δ. It has a Q-adic topology which is Hausdorff because of the injectivity of Ξ. Define equivariant Floer cohomology $FH^*_{T^2}$ by

$$FH^*_{T^2} := \widehat{H}^{\infty/2}_{T^2,+} := \varprojlim_n H^{\infty/2}_{T^2,+}/\mathfrak{m}^n H^{\infty/2}_{T^2,+},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the ideal of D_{poly} generated by Q^{a*}. Then $FH^*_{T^2}$ becomes a D-module (not just a D_{poly}-module). The map Ξ can be extended to this completion and defines an embedding $\Xi\colon FH^*_{T^2} \hookrightarrow H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}, \lambda][\![q]\!]$. Similarly, we define the equivariant Floer homology $FH^{T^2}_*$. We have a bar isomorphism $\overline{}\colon FH^*_{T^2} \xrightarrow{\cong} FH^{T^2}_*$. $FH^{T^2}_*$ is a $\overline{D}$-module and we have an embedding $\overline{\Xi}\colon FH^{T^2}_* \hookrightarrow H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}, \lambda][\![q]\!]$. By Proposition 4.8, we extend the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ between Floer homology and cohomology.

$$(\cdot,\cdot)\colon FH^{T^2}_* \times FH^*_{T^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \lambda][\![q]\!].$$

Remark 4.9. *So far, we have studied T^2-equivariant Floer cohomology. In the case of a toric variety itself, there is no S^1 acting on the fiber of $\mathcal{V}$. Hence, it is natural to consider S^1-equivariant Floer cohomology $FH^*_{S^1}$. (There remains the S^1 action rotating loops). For the superspace, the fiberwise S^1 action on $\mathcal{V}$ is introduced in order to ensure that the pairing becomes non-degenerate. After we take a frame Φ of T^2-equivariant Floer cohomology as in the next subsection, we can take λ to be zero and obtain the S^1-equivariant version. In Section 3, we considered only the case where $\lambda = 0$, however, it easy to develop the theory including λ.*

4.4. **Quantum D-modules and Equivariant Floer Theory.** We shall show that the equivariant Floer cohomology is an abstract quantum D-module and coincides with the quantum D-module arising from the quantum cohomology of the superspace $(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{V})$. First, we must define its frame. By the existence of the embedding Ξ, the zero-fiber of $FH^*_{T^2}$ is isomorphic to $H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \lambda]$ through the localization map $i_0^*(\cdot/\Delta)$. We regard this isomorphism as a fixed choice of a frame of the zero-fiber. Choose a basis $\{T_0(p), \dots, T_s(p)\}$ of $H^*(X_\Sigma)$, where T_i is a polynomial in $p_1, \dots, p_r$ and $T_0(p) = 1$, $T_a(p) = p_a$ for $1 \leqq a \leqq r$. Then we define a frame Φ as the $\mathbb{C}[\hbar, \lambda][\![q]\!]$-linear map:

$$\Phi\colon H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \lambda][\![q]\!] \to FH^*_{T^2}, \quad T_a(p) \mapsto T_a(P)\Delta.$$

This clearly preserves the degree. Correspondingly, we define $\overline{\Phi}$ by

$$\overline{\Phi}\colon H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \lambda][\![q]\!] \to FH^{T^2}_*, \quad T_a(p) \mapsto T_a(P)\overline{\Delta}.$$

First we claim that Φ defines an isomorphism over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar, \lambda][\![q]\!]$. Composing Φ with Ξ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi \circ \Phi\colon H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \lambda][\![q]\!] &\to H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}, \lambda][\![q]\!], \\ T_a(p) &\mapsto T_a(p) + \sum_{d \neq 0} i_d^*\left(\frac{T_a(P)\Delta}{\Delta_d}\right) q^d. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

From this we see that $\Xi \circ \Phi$ is injective. Therefore, Φ is also injective. For the surjectivity, it suffices to show that $f(P) \cdot \Delta$ is in the image of Φ for any polynomial f. By (26), we can easily deduce that there exists an element x_0 in $H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}, \lambda][\![q]\!]$ such that $\Xi \circ \Phi(x_0) = \Xi(f(P)\Delta)$ holds. We would like to show that x_0 is an element of $H^*(X_\Sigma)[\hbar, \lambda][\![q]\!]$. Consider the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)_\Phi$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha,\beta)_\Phi &:= (\overline{\Phi}(\alpha),\Phi(\beta)) \\ &= \int_{X_\Sigma} \alpha\cup\beta\cup Euler_{S^1}(\mathcal{V}) + \text{higher order terms in } q.\end{aligned}$$

If we invert the variable λ, this becomes a perfect pairing on $H^*(X_\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\lambda,\lambda^{-1}][\![q]\!]$ which takes values in $\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\lambda,\lambda^{-1}][\![q]\!]$. On the other hand, we have for any a,

$$(T_a(p),x_0)_\Phi = (\overline{\Phi}(T_a(p)), f(P)\Delta)\in\mathbb{C}[\lambda,\hbar][\![q]\!].$$

Therefore, x_0 is contained in $H^*(X_\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\lambda,\lambda^{-1}][\![q]\!]$. Thus we have $x_0\in H^*(X_\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\hbar,\lambda][\![q]\!]$ and the surjectivity of Φ is proved.

It is easy to check that Φ induces the frame $\Phi_0 = i_0(\cdot/\Delta)$ of the zero fiber and Φ_0 becomes an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[p,\hbar]$-modules. By definition, we have $\Phi(p_a) = P_a\Phi(1)$. Therefore Φ and the generalized coordinates (Q^*,P) are compatible. This frame defines a connection $\nabla = d + \frac{1}{\hbar}\sum\Omega_a dq^a/q^a$ on the $H^*(X_\Sigma)$ bundle. It satisfies $\lim_{q\to 0}\Omega_a = (p_a\cup)$.

Next we claim that the $\mathrm{End}(V)$-valued function S in Proposition 3.6 can be written as $S := (\Xi\circ\Phi)^{-1}$. The initial condition $S(q=0,\hbar) = I$ is clear. Proposition 4.6 shows that S satisfies the differential equation:

$$\hbar\nabla^1_a S = \hbar\nabla_a S - S\circ(p_a\cup) = 0.$$

The equation $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}}S = [\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}),S] = 0$ holds because S preserves degrees. Consequently S is the flat section in Proposition 3.6. In particular, Ξ in this section coincides with that in Section 3. Therefore, by Proposition 4.8, the pairing as an abstract quantum D-module is identical with the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ between equivariant Floer homology and cohomology. The pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ in the Floer theory is polynomial in $\hbar$. This fact together with Proposition 3.20 and Remark 3.21 shows that $(\cdot,\cdot)$ can be identified with the Poincaré pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle^{\mathcal{V}}$ of the superspace $(X_\Sigma,\mathcal{V})$ after we take the canonical frame.

The J-function of $FH^*_{T^2}$ is calculated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}J_{\Phi,(Q^*,P)} &= e^{p\log q/\hbar}S^{-1}(1) = e^{p\log q/\hbar}\sum_{d;\langle p_a,d\rangle\geqq 0,\forall a} i_d^*\left(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_d}\right)q^d \\ &= e^{p\log q/\hbar}\sum_{d;\langle p_a,d\rangle\geqq 0,\forall a} q^d\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\prod_{\nu=\langle u_i,d\rangle+1}^{\infty}(u_i+\nu\hbar)}{\prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty}(u_i+\nu\hbar)}\cup\prod_{i=1}^l\frac{\prod_{\nu=-\infty}^{\langle v_i,d\rangle}(v_i+\nu\hbar-\lambda)}{\prod_{\nu=-\infty}^{0}(v_i+\nu\hbar-\lambda)}.\end{aligned}$$

By Givental [Giv3], when $c_1(X_\Sigma/\mathcal{V})$ is nef, the above function coincides with the J-function of the superspace $(X_\Sigma,\mathcal{V})$ after a suitable mirror transformation. The necessary coordinate change can be done uniquely as in Proposition 3.18. Because abstract quantum D-modules are determined by J-functions (Theorem 3.17), we conclude that our equivariant Floer cohomology $FH^*_{T^2}$ is isomorphic to the quantum D-module of the superspace $(X_\Sigma,\mathcal{V})$ as an abstract quantum D-module. We remark that there exist natural generalized coordinates $(Q^{1^*},\ldots,Q^{r*},P_1,\ldots,P_r)$ in equivariant Floer theory. These coordinates are not necessarily affine, and we need a mirror transformation. Even in that case, however, we need not change the normalization, i.e. the normalization vector of equivariant Floer theory is identical with that of the quantum D-module.

Theorem 4.10. *Let X_Σ be a toric manifold and $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l\mathcal{V}_i$ be a sum of nef line bundles over X_Σ. The equivariant Floer cohomology $FH^*_{T^2}$ is an abstract quantum D-module endowed with a choice of a frame Φ_0 of the zero-fiber and generalized coordinates $(Q^{1^*},\ldots,Q^{r*},P_1,\ldots,P_r)$. If $c_1(X_\Sigma/\mathcal{V}) := c_1(X_\Sigma)-c_1(\mathcal{V})$ is nef, it is isomorphic to the quantum D-module of the superspace*

$(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{V})$ as an abstract quantum D-module with a fixed choice of Φ_0 and a normalization vector $v_0 = (\partial/\partial q^1)_0 + \cdots + (\partial/\partial q^r)_0$. Moreover,

(1) *The map Ξ defined in (25) coincides with the Ξ as an abstract quantum D-module.*

(2) *The pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ between equivariant Floer homology and cohomology defined in (23) coincides with that as an abstract quantum D-module. It can be identified with the Poincaré pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle^{\mathcal{V}}$ after we take the canonical frame.*

Remark 4.11. *From the above theorem and Corollary 3.12, we can calculate all genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants of X_Σ by using the equivariant Floer cohomology.*

5. EXAMPLES

We illustrate the general theory with two examples concerning a Hirzeburch surface. We obtain the quantum multiplication tables by using the equivariant Floer theory constructed in Section 4.

Consider the Hirzeburch surface $\mathbb{F}_1 := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the structure sheaf of $\mathbb{P}^1$. It is described by the fan Σ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ whose one skeleton $\Sigma^{(1)}$ consists of four vectors

$$x_1 = (1,0), \quad x_2 = (-1,-1), \quad x_3 = (0,1), \quad x_4 = (0,-1).$$

Let u_i be the cohomology class corresponding to x_i. We have the following relations.

$$u_1 = u_2, \quad u_3 = u_4 + u_2, \quad u_1u_2 = 0, \quad u_3u_4 = 0.$$

We can see that u_1 is the class of the fiber of $\mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and that u_3 and u_4 are classes of the zero-section and the ∞-section respectively. We take $p_1 := u_1$ and $p_2 := u_3$ as a basis of $H^2(\mathbb{F}_1)$. The Kähler cone of $H^2(\mathbb{F}_1)$ is generated by p_1 and p_2. The set $\{1, p_1, p_2, p_1p_2\}$ forms a linear basis of the total cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{F}_1)$. The first Chern class of $\mathbb{F}_1$ is $c_1(\mathbb{F}_1) = p_1 + 2p_2$. The classes p_1, p_2 may be lifted to the equivariant classes P_1, P_2 in Givental's model for $\widetilde{L\mathbb{F}_1}$. Let Q^1, Q^2 denote the covering transformations dual to p_1, p_2 and q^1, q^2 denote the corresponding variables. We have $\deg q^1 = 2$ and $\deg q^2 = 4$. The Floer fundamental cycle is

$$\Delta = \prod_{\nu<0}(P_1 - \nu\hbar)^2 \prod_{\nu<0}(P_2 - \nu\hbar) \prod_{\nu<0}(P_2 - P_1 - \nu\hbar).$$

From this and the formula $Q^{a*}(P_b) = P_b - \delta^a_b\hbar$, we can derive the Picard-Fuchs equations.

$$(P_2 - P_1 + \hbar)Q^{1*}(\Delta) = P_1^2\Delta, \quad Q^{2*}(\Delta) = P_2(P_2 - P_1)\Delta.$$

The equivariant Floer cohomology $FH^*_{S^1}$ is generated by Δ over the Heisenberg algebra $\mathbb{C}[\hbar][\![Q^*]\!][P]$ with the above relations. We take a frame Φ of $FH^*_{S^1}$ as

$$\Phi(1) := \Delta, \quad \Phi(p_1) := P_1\Delta, \quad \Phi(p_2) := P_2\Delta, \quad \Phi(p_1p_2) := P_1P_2\Delta.$$

By using the Picard-Fuchs equations, we easily obtain the connection matrices Ω_1, Ω_2 defined by $\Phi(\Omega_a(\alpha)) = P_a\Phi(\alpha)$.

$$\Omega_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & q^1q^2 \\ 1 & -q^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & q^2 & q^1q^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\Phi(q^a\alpha) = Q^{a*}(\Phi(\alpha))$ by definition. These connection matrices are already $\hbar$-independent, therefore the frame Φ defined above is canonical. Hence we can identify the above matrices with the quantum multiplications by p_1, p_2, i.e. $p_a* = \Omega_a$. They agree with Appendix 2 in [Gue1].

Next we consider the case where we need a mirror transformation. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a semi-positive line bundle over $\mathbb{F}_1$ such that $c_1(\mathcal{V}) = 2p_2$. Then we have a convex superspace $(\mathbb{F}_1, \mathcal{V})$ whose first Chern class is $c_1(\mathbb{F}_1/\mathcal{V}) = p_1$. In this case, we have $\deg q^1 = 2$ and $\deg q^2 = 0$. The Floer fundamental cycle $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}}$ is written as

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{V}} = \prod_{\nu<0}(P_1 - \nu\hbar)^2 \prod_{\nu<0}(P_2 - \nu\hbar) \prod_{\nu<0}(P_2 - P_1 - \nu\hbar) \prod_{\nu\geqq 0}(2P_2 - \nu\hbar).$$

From this, we derive the Picard-Fuchs equations as

$$(P_2 - P_1 + \hbar){Q^1}^*\Delta^{\mathcal{V}} = P_1^2\Delta^{\mathcal{V}}, \quad 2P_2(2P_2 - \hbar){Q^2}^*\Delta^{\mathcal{V}} = P_2(P_2 - P_1)\Delta^{\mathcal{V}}. \tag{27}$$

The equivariant Floer cohomology ${FH_{S^1}^*}^{\mathcal{V}}$ is generated by $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}}$ with the above relations. We define a frame Φ of ${FH_{S^1}^*}^{\mathcal{V}}$ in the same way. Then, by using the Picard-Fuchs equations for $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}}$, we obtain connection matrices Ω_1, Ω_2 as follows.

$$\Omega_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\hbar^2\frac{xy}{1-4y} \\ 1 & -x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & 0 & 6\hbar\frac{xy}{1-4y} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4\frac{xy}{1-4y} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2\hbar^2\frac{y}{1-4y} & 2\hbar^2\frac{xy}{(1-4y)^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\hbar^2\frac{y}{1-4y} \\ 1 & 0 & 6\hbar\frac{y}{1-4y} & 6\hbar\frac{xy}{(1-4y)^2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{1-4y} & 6\hbar\frac{y}{1-4y} + 4\frac{xy}{(1-4y)^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, we put $x = q^1$, $y = q^2$ for notational convenience. These connection matrices contain $\hbar$, therefore Φ is not canonical in this case. In order to obtain a canonical frame, we perform the Birkhoff factorization for S_Φ. According to Section 4, the inverse of S_Φ is given by the composition $\Xi \circ \Phi$.

$$S_\Phi^{-1}(\alpha) = \Xi(\Phi(\alpha)) = \sum_{d_1, d_2 \geqq 0} x^{d_1}y^{d_2} i^*_{d_1,d_2}\left(\frac{\alpha\Delta^{\mathcal{V}}}{({Q^1}^*)^{d_1}({Q^2}^*)^{d_2}\Delta^{\mathcal{V}}}\right),$$

where $i^*_{d_1,d_2}(P_a) = p_a + d_a\hbar$. The J-function J_Φ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-(p_1\log x + p_2 \log y)/\hbar} J_\Phi = S_\Phi^{-1}(1) &= \sum_{d_1,d_2\geqq 0} \frac{x^{d_1}y^{d_2}\prod_{\nu=1}^{2d_2}(2p_2 + \nu\hbar)\prod_{\nu=d_2-d_1+1}^{\infty}(p_2 - p_1 + \nu\hbar)}{\prod_{\nu=1}^{d_1}(p_1+\nu\hbar)^2\prod_{\nu=1}^{d_2}(p_2+\nu\hbar)\prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty}(p_2 - p_1 + \nu\hbar)} \\ &= I_0 + I_1\frac{p_1}{\hbar} + I_2\frac{p_2}{\hbar} + I_3\frac{p_1p_2}{\hbar^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3 are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_0 &:= \sum_{d_2 \geqq d_1} k_{d_1,d_2} \frac{x^{d_1}}{\hbar^{d_1}} y^{d_2}, \\
I_1 &:= \sum_{d_2 \geqq d_1} k_{d_1,d_2} (A_{d_2-d_1} - 2A_{d_1}) \frac{x^{d_1}}{\hbar^{d_1}} y^{d_2} + \sum_{d_2 < d_1} l_{d_1,d_2} (-1)^{d_1-d_2} \frac{x^{d_1}}{\hbar^{d_1}} y^{d_2}, \\
I_2 &:= \sum_{d_2 \geqq d_1} k_{d_1,d_2} (2A_{2d_2} - A_{d_2} - A_{d_2-d_1}) \frac{x^{d_1}}{\hbar^{d_1}} y^{d_2} - \sum_{d_2 < d_1} l_{d_1,d_2} (-1)^{d_1-d_2} \frac{x^{d_1}}{\hbar^{d_1}} y^{d_2}, \\
I_3 &:= \sum_{d_2 \geqq d_1} k_{d_1,d_2} \frac{x^{d_1}}{\hbar^{d_1}} y^{d_2} D_{d_1,d_2} + \sum_{d_2 < d_1} l_{d_1,d_2} (2A_{d_1} - A_{d_1-d_2-1}) (-1)^{d_1-d_2} \frac{x^{d_1}}{\hbar^{d_1}} y^{d_2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$k_{d_1,d_2} := \frac{(2d_2)!}{(d_1!)^2 d_2! (d_2-d_1)!}, \quad l_{d_1,d_2} := \frac{(2d_2)!(d_1-d_2-1)!}{(d_1!)^2 d_2!},$$

$$D_{d_1,d_2} := 4B_{2d_2} + B_{d_2} + C_{d_2} - B_{d_2-d_1} - C_{d_2-d_1} - 4A_{d_1}A_{2d_2} - 2A_{2d_2}A_{d_2} + 2A_{d_1}A_{d_2} + 2A_{d_1}A_{d_2-d_1},$$

$$A_n := \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n} \frac{1}{i}, \; A_0 := 0, \quad B_n := \sum_{1 \leqq i < j \leqq n} \frac{1}{ij}, \; B_0 = B_1 := 0, \quad C_n := \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n} \frac{1}{i^2} = A_n^2 - 2B_n.$$

We can write S_Φ^{-1} in terms of I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3.

$$S_\Phi^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_0 & \hbar \dot{I}_0 & \hbar I_0' & \hbar^2 \dot{I}_0' \\ I_1/\hbar & I_0 + \dot{I}_1 & I_1' & \hbar(I_0' + \dot{I}_1') \\ I_2/\hbar & \dot{I}_2 & I_0 + I_2' & \hbar(\dot{I}_0 + \dot{I}_2') \\ I_3/\hbar^2 & (I_2 + \dot{I}_3)\hbar & (I_3' + I_1 + I_2)/\hbar & I_0 + \dot{I}_1 + \dot{I}_2 + I_2' + \dot{I}_3' \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\dot{I}$ means $x\partial I/\partial x$ and I' means $y\partial I/\partial y$. We factorize S_Φ^{-1} as $S_\Phi^{-1} = S_-^{-1} S_+^{-1}$, where S_+ is a power series in $\hbar$ and S_- is a power series in $\hbar^{-1}$ satisfying $S_-(\hbar = \infty) = \text{id}$. We calculate $S_\pm^{-1}$ by Maple as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_+^{-1} = &\begin{pmatrix} 1+2y+6y^2+20y^3+70y^4 & 2x(y+6y^2+30y^3+140y^4) & 2x(y+10y^2+70y^3+420y^4) & 8x^2(y^2+14y^3+126y^4) \\ 0 & 1+2y+6y^2+20y^3+70y^4 & 2(y+7y^2+38y^3+187y^4) & 8x(y^2+11y^3+82y^4) \\ 0 & 0 & 1+4y+16y^2+64y^3+256y^4 & 4x(y+8y^2+48y^3+256y^4) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1+4y+16y^2+64y^3 \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2y+12y^2+60y^3+280y^4 & 2x(y+12y^2+90y^3+560y^4) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2y+12y^2+60y^3+280y^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(y^5),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_-^{-1} = &\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\hbar} \begin{pmatrix} 2x(y+4y^2+16y^3+64y^4) & 2x^2(y^2+8y^3+48y^4) & 2x^2(y^2+8y^3+48y^4) & 0 \\ 2y+5y^2+\frac{44}{3}y^3+\frac{93}{2}y^4 & x(-1+2y^2+12y^3+58y^4) & 2x(y^2+6y^3+29y^4) & 0 \\ 2y+3y^2+\frac{20}{3}y^3+\frac{35}{2}y^4 & x(1+2y+6y^2+20y^3+70y^4) & 2x(y+3y^2+10y^3+35y^4) & 0 \\ 0 & 2y+3y^2+\frac{20}{3}y^3+\frac{35}{2}y^4 & 2y+3y^2+\frac{20}{3}y^3+\frac{35}{2}y^4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \begin{pmatrix} 3x^2(y^2+8y^3+48y^4) & 4x^3(y^3+12y^4) & 4x^3(y^3+12y^4) & 0 \\ x(-1-2y-2y^2+6y^3+\frac{190}{3}y^4) & x^2(\frac{1}{2}-2y^2-8y^3-15y^4) & x^2(-2y^2-8y^3-15y^4) & 0 \\ x(1+2y+10y^2+42y^3+\frac{514}{3}y^4) & x^2(-\frac{1}{2}+3y^2+24y^3+143y^4) & x^2(3y^2+24y^3+143y^4) & 0 \\ -2y+\frac{3}{2}y^2+\frac{88}{9}y^3+\frac{937}{24}y^4 & x(-1-2y-2y^2+2y^3+\frac{94}{3}y^4) & x(-2y-2y^2+2y^3+\frac{94}{3}y^4) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \frac{1}{\hbar^3} \begin{pmatrix} x^3(\frac{10}{3}y^3+40y^4) & 5x^4y^4 & 5x^4y^4 & 0 \\ x^2(\frac{1}{4}-y-\frac{17}{2}y^2-42y^3-\frac{355}{2}y^4) & x^3(-\frac{1}{6}-y^2-14y^3-105y^4) & x^3(-y^2-14y^3-105y^4) & 0 \\ x^2(-\frac{1}{4}+y+\frac{15}{2}y^2+46y^3+\frac{499}{2}y^4) & x^3(\frac{1}{6}+y^2+\frac{40}{3}y^3+113y^4) & x^3(y^2+\frac{40}{3}y^3+113y^4) & 0 \\ x(-2-4y-16y^2-53y^3-\frac{1552}{9}y^4) & x^2(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{9}{2}y^2-32y^3-\frac{335}{2}y^4) & x^2(-\frac{9}{2}y^2-32y^3-\frac{335}{2}y^4) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\hbar^{-4}, y^5).
\end{aligned}$$

By the proof of Theorem 3.9, S_+ gives the gauge transformation Q such that $\hat{\Phi} := \Phi \circ Q$ is canonical. First, the connection matrices are transformed as follows:

$$\hat{\Omega}_1 = S_+^{-1} \Omega_1 S_+ + \hbar x \frac{\partial S_+}{\partial x}, \quad \hat{\Omega}_2 = S_+^{-1} \Omega_1 S_+ + \hbar y \frac{\partial S_+}{\partial y}.$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_+ \quad &= \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1-2y-2y^2-4y^3-10y^4 & -2xy-4xy^2-12xy^3-40xy^4 & -2y\hbar-2xy-4xy^2-12xy^3-40xy^4 & -2xy\hbar \\ 0 & 1-2y-2y^2-4y^3-10y^4 & -2y-2y^2-4y^3-10y^4 & -2y\hbar \\ 0 & 0 & 1-4y & -4xy \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-4y \end{pmatrix} + O(y^5), \\
\hat{\Omega}_1 \quad &= \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2xy+8xy^2+32xy^3+128xy^4 & 4x^2y^2+32x^2y^3+192x^2y^4 & 4x^2y^2+32x^2y^3+192x^2y^4 & 0 \\ 1 & -x+2xy^2+12xy^3+58xy^4 & 2xy^2+12xy^3+58xy^4 & 0 \\ 0 & x+2xy+6xy^2+20xy^3+70xy^4 & 2xy+6xy^2+20xy^3+70xy^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(y^5), \\
\hat{\Omega}_2 \quad &= \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2xy+16xy^2+96xy^3+512xy^4 & 4x^2y^2+48x^2y^3+384x^2y^4 & 4x^2y^2+48x^2y^3+384x^2y^4 & 0 \\ 2y+10y^2+44y^3+186y^4 & 4xy^2+36xy^3+232xy^4 & 4xy^2+36xy^3+232xy^4 & 0 \\ 1+2y+6y^2+20y^3+70y^4 & 2xy+12xy^2+60xy^3+280xy^4 & 2xy+12xy^2+60xy^3+280xy^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+2y+6y^2+20y^3+70y^4 & 1+2y+6y^2+20y^3+70y^4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(y^5).
\end{aligned}
$$

Second, we must transform coordinates. We can read the coordinate transformation (mirror transformation) from S_+ and $\hat{\Omega}_a$. The mirror transformation is determined by

$$\hat{\Omega}_a(1) = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial \log q^a} + \sum_{b=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \log \hat{q}^b}{\partial \log q^a} p_b.$$

(See equations (13), (14).) From this, we calculate the transformation as

$$x = \hat{x}(1-2\hat{y}+\hat{y}^2), \quad y = \hat{y} - 2\hat{y}^2 + 3\hat{y}^3 - 4\hat{y}^4 + 5\hat{y}^5 + \cdots, \tag{28}$$

where $\hat{x} = \hat{q}^1$ and $\hat{y} = \hat{q}^2$. The function F can be calculated as

$$F = -2x(y + 4y^2 + 16y^3 + 64y^4 + \cdots) = -2\hat{x}\hat{y}. \tag{29}$$

The connection matrices are further transformed as

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{1}} = \frac{\partial \log x}{\partial \log \hat{x}} \hat{\Omega}_1 + \frac{\partial \log y}{\partial \log \hat{x}} \hat{\Omega}_2 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \log \hat{x}}, \quad \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{2}} = \frac{\partial \log x}{\partial \log \hat{y}} \hat{\Omega}_1 + \frac{\partial \log y}{\partial \log \hat{y}} \hat{\Omega}_2 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \log \hat{y}}.$$

We have

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4\hat{x}^2\hat{y}^2 & 4\hat{x}^2\hat{y}^2 & 0 \\ 1 & -\hat{x}(1-\hat{y}^2) & 2\hat{x}\hat{y}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{x}(1-\hat{y}^2) & -2\hat{x}\hat{y}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2\hat{x}\hat{y} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4\hat{x}^2\hat{y}^2 & 4\hat{x}^2\hat{y}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\hat{x}\hat{y}^2 & 4\hat{x}\hat{y}^2 & 0 \\ 1 & -2\hat{x}\hat{y}^2 & -4\hat{x}\hat{y}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1-2(\hat{y}+\hat{y}^2+\hat{y}^3+\cdots) & -2\hat{x}\hat{y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

They are $\hbar$-independent, therefore the frame $\hat{\Phi} = \Phi \circ S_+$ is canonical. The function f in the equation (16) is given by $f = S_+(1) = 1 - 2y - 2y^2 - 4y^3 - 10y^4 - \cdots$.

In the above calculation, we solve for each coefficient of $x^i y^j$ recursively. Thus we do not reach the exact solution by this method. However, in this case, we can obtain the exact solution by an analytical method. The $(1/\hbar)$-expansion of J_Φ is

$$J_\Phi = e^{(p_1 \log x + p_2 \log y)/\hbar} \left(\frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{\hbar}(H_1 p_1 + H_2 p_2 - \frac{F}{f}) + o(\hbar^{-1}) \right),$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x,y) &:= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} y^n \right)^{-1} = \sqrt{1-4y}, \quad F(x,y) := xy \frac{\partial f/\partial y}{f} = -\frac{2xy}{1-4y}, \\
H_1(x,y) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} A_n y^n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-4y}} \log(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-4y}}), \\
H_2(x,y) &:= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} (A_{2n} - A_n) y^n = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-4y}} \log(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4y}}{2}).
\end{aligned}
$$

This asymptotics and Proposition 3.18 show that the mirror transformation is given by

$$J_\Phi \longmapsto fe^{F/\hbar}J_\Phi, \quad \log\hat{x} = \log x + fH_1, \quad \log\hat{y} = \log y + fH_2.$$

The inverse change of variables is given by the simple formulas.

$$x = \hat{x}(1-\hat{y})^2, \quad y = \frac{\hat{y}}{(1+\hat{y})^2}.$$

From this, it follows that $f = (1-\hat{y})/(1+\hat{y})$ and $F = -2\hat{x}\hat{y}$. They coincide with the equations (28), (29). We transform $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}}$ and P_a as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} =& f\Delta^{\mathcal{V}}, \\
\hat{P}_1 =& \frac{\partial\log x}{\partial\log\hat{x}}P_1 + \frac{\partial\log y}{\partial\log\hat{x}}P_2 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial\log\hat{x}} = P_1 - 2\hat{x}\hat{y}, \\
\hat{P}_2 =& \frac{\partial\log x}{\partial\log\hat{y}}P_1 + \frac{\partial\log y}{\partial\log\hat{y}}P_2 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial\log\hat{y}} = -\frac{2\hat{y}}{1-\hat{y}}P_1 + \frac{1-\hat{y}}{1+\hat{y}}P_2 - 2\hat{x}\hat{y}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the Picard-Fuchs equations (27) is transformed as

$$\hat{P}_1^2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} = 4\hat{x}^2\hat{y}^2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} - \hat{x}(1-\hat{y}^2)(\hat{P}_1\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} - \hat{P}_2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}}),$$
$$2\hat{x}\hat{y}(1+\hat{y})(\hat{P}_1\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} - \hat{P}_2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}}) + (1-3\hat{y})\hat{P}_1\hat{P}_2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} + 2\hat{y}\hat{P}_1^2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} - (1-\hat{y})\hat{P}_2^2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} = 0,$$

where, by the abuse of notation, $\hat{x}$, $\hat{y}$ mean the pull-backs by the corresponding covering transformations. We define a new frame $\hat{\Phi}$ as

$$\hat{\Phi}(1) = \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}}, \ \ \hat{\Phi}(p_1) = \hat{P}_1\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}}, \ \ \hat{\Phi}(p_2) = \hat{P}_2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}},$$
$$\hat{\Phi}(p_1p_2) = \hat{P}_1\hat{P}_2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} + 2\hat{x}\hat{y}^2(\hat{P}_2 - \hat{P}_1)\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}} - 4\hat{x}^2\hat{y}^2\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}}.$$

By using the Picard-Fuchs equations, we can obtain the same connection matrices $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{a}}$ as above, but the $(4,3)$-entry $1-2(\hat{y}+\hat{y}^2+\hat{y}^3+\cdots)$ of $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{2}}$ is replaced by the exact formula $(1-3\hat{y})/(1-\hat{y})$.

References

[AB] Atiyah, M. Bott, R. *The Moment Map and Equivariant Cohomology.* Topology 23 (1984), no. 1, pp.1–28.

[Aud] Audin, M. *The Topology of Torus Actions on Symplectic Manifolds.* Birkäuser. Progress in Mathematics 93. 1991.

[Bar] Barannikov, Serguei. *Quantum periods. I. Semi-infinite variations of Hodge structures.* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, no. 23, pp.1243–1264.

[Bri] Brion, M. *Piecewise Polynomial Functions, Convex Polytopes and Enumerative Geometry.* Parameter spaces (Warsaw, 1994), pp.25–44, Banach Center Publ., 36, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1996.

[CG] Coates, Tom. Givental, A. B. *Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre.* math.AG/0110142.

[CJS] Cohen, R. L. Jones, J. D. S. Segal, G. B. *Floer's infinite-dimensional Morse theory and homotopy theory.* The Floer memorial volume, pp.297–325, Progr. Math., 133, Birkäuser, Basel, 1995.

[CK] Cox, David A. Katz, Sheldon. *Mirror Symmetry and Algebraic Geometry.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 68. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[Giv1] Givental, A. B. *Homological Geometry. I. Projective Hypersurfaces.* Selecta Math. (N.S.) 1 (1995), no. 2, pp.325–345.

[Giv2] Givental, A. B. *Equivariant Gromov-Witten Invariants.* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996, no. 13, pp.613–663.

[Giv3] Givental, A. B. *A Mirror Theorem for Toric Complete Intersections.* Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics (Kyoto, 1996), pp.141–175, Progr. Math., 160, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998.

[Giv4] Givental, A. B. *Elliptic Gromov-Witten invariants and the generalized mirror conjecture.* Integrable systems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997), pp.107–155, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1998.

[Gue1] Guest, Martin A. *Introduction to Homological Geometry: part I.* math.DG/0104274.

[Gue2] Guest, Martin A. *Introduction to Homological Geometry: part II.* math.DG/0105032.

[Gue3] Guest, Martin A. *Quantum Cohomology via D-modules.* math.DG/0206212.

[Iri1] Iritani, Hiroshi. *The* S^1 *Equivariant Cohomology of the Universal Covering of Free Loop Spaces.* master thesis, 2003.

[Iri2] Iritani, Hiroshi. *Quantum D-modules and Generalized Mirror Transformations.* in preparation.

[KM] Kontsevich, M. Manin, Y. I. *Gromov-Witten Classes, Quantum Cohomology, and Enumerative Geometry.* Comm. Math. Phys. 164 (1994), no. 3, pp.525–562.

[LLY1] Lian, Bong H. Liu, Kefeng. Yau, Shing-Tung. *Mirror principle. I.* Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 4, pp.729–763.

[LLY2] Lian, Bong H. Liu, Kefeng. Yau, Shing-Tung. *Mirror principle. II.* Sir Michael Atiyah: a great mathematician of the twentieth century. Asian J. Math. 3 (1999), no. 1, pp.109–146.

[LLY3] Lian, Bong H. Liu, Kefeng Yau, Shing-Tung. *Mirror principle. III.* Asian J. Math. 3 (1999), no. 4, pp.771–800.

[Man] Manin, Y. I. *Frobenius Manifolds, Quantum Cohomology, and Moduli Spaces.* American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 47. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[Pan] Pandharipande, Rahul. *Rational curves on hypersurfaces (after A. Givental).* Sminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 1997/98. Astrisque No. 252 (1998), Exp. No. 848, 5, 307–340.

[PSS] Piunikhin, S. Salamon, D. Schwarz, M. *Symplectic Floer-Donaldson Theory and Quantum Cohomology.* Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994), pp.171–200, Publ. Newton Inst., 8, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[RT] Ruan, Yongbin. Tian, Gang. *Bott-type Symplectic Floer Cohomology and its Multiplication Structures.* Math. Res. Lett. 2 (1995), no. 2, pp.203–219.

[Sai] Saito, Kyoji. *Period mapping associated to a primitive form.* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 19 (1983), no. 3, pp.1231–1264.

[Vla] Vlassopoulos, Yiannis. *Quantum Cohomology and Morse Theory on the Loop Space of Toric Varieties.* math.AG/0203083.

[Wit] Witten, Edward. *Phases of* $N = 2$ *theories in two dimensions.* Nuclear Phys. B 403 (1993), no. 1-2, pp.159–222.